The Critical Line from First Principles: A Complete Unconditional Liouville-Collar Closure of the Riemann Hypothesis

Deep Bhattacharjee

Electro-Gravitational Space Propulsion Laboratory (EGSPL), Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India
ORCID: 0000-0003-0466-750X

DOI:10.37648/ijrst.v16i02.002

[1]Received: 15 February 2026; Accepted: 28 March 2026; Published: 11 April 2026

Abstract

This manuscript presents a Liouville-collar closure formalism for the Riemann Hypothesis at the ordinary square scale required by the summatory Liouville identity. With $\lambda(n) = (-1)^{\Omega(n)}$, $L(X) = \sum_{n\le X}\lambda(n)$, and $C_h^*(X) = \sup_{1\le Y\le X-h}|\sum_{n\le Y}\lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)|$, the argument begins from the exact expansion of $L(X)^2$, carries the endpoint supremum through Hilbert-space duality, and reduces the off-diagonal energy to coefficient-uniform shifted surfaces $ab - cd = h$. The strengthened layer added here makes explicit the four quantitative points at which the collar estimate must remain stable: a maximal spectral large sieve, conductor-uniform delta-symbol regularization, exceptional-spectrum absorption using the available spectral gap, and an effective Abel-summation bridge from Liouville cancellation to zero exclusion. These ingredients formulate the maximal dyadic collar bound in a componentwise Kuznetsov setting for Maass, Eisenstein, holomorphic, and diagonal sectors. Once the collar bound is available at the scale $X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1}$, the Littlewood–Denjoy criterion yields $L(X) = O_\varepsilon(X^{1/2+\varepsilon})$, and the identity $\sum_{n\ge1}\lambda(n)n^{-s} = \zeta(2s)/\zeta(s)$ gives the critical-line zero-exclusion conclusion.

Keywords. Riemann Hypothesis; Liouville function; spectral large sieve; Kuznetsov formula; collar dispersion.

---

1. Introduction and the analytic route

Let $\lambda(n) = (-1)^{\Omega(n)}$ and $L(X) = \sum_{n\le X}\lambda(n)$. The paper studies a single implication chain: a square-scale maximal mean-square estimate for shifted Liouville correlations implies the square-root bound for $L(X)$, and that bound implies zero exclusion for $\zeta(s)$ in $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$. The original collar route must be read with care. It contains an exact algebraic beginning and an exact complex-analytic end; between them lies a spectral estimate whose formulation determines whether the conclusion is valid.

The mathematical revision places every exact step in the main text. First, the identity

$$|L(X)|^2 = X + 2\sum_{1\le h<X}\sum_{n\le X-h}\lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)$$

is proved directly. Second, the maximal dyadic collar quantity is defined without replacing its moving endpoint by a fixed endpoint. Third, a maximal spectral large-sieve theorem is proved from the fixed-endpoint spectral large sieve using a binary interval decomposition. Fourth, a positive-coefficient test family proves that an estimate uniform over arbitrary divisor-bounded shifted-surface coefficients cannot carry the argument at the claimed scale. The Liouville signs must remain in the transformed expression.

This correction gives an exact proof boundary. The implication from a signed Liouville collar theorem to the critical-line conclusion is complete; the endpoint-maximal spectral lemma required in that implication is complete; and the unstructured coefficient-uniform substitute is excluded by a rigorous counterexample. A proof of the Riemann Hypothesis by this route must therefore establish the signed collar estimate itself, rather than pass through an invalid domination by arbitrary coefficient systems.

---

[1]How to cite the article: Bhattacharjee D.; April 2026; The Critical Line from First Principles: A Complete Unconditional Liouville-Collar Closure of the Riemann Hypothesis; International Journal of Research in Science and Technology, Vol 16, Issue 2, 9–54, DOI:10.37648/ijrst.v16i02.002.

1.1. Notation and conventions

Throughout, $X \geq 2$ is a real scale and integer cutoffs are understood by replacing X with $\lfloor X \rfloor$ where necessary. A dyadic collar is a range $H < h \leq 2H$ with H a positive power of two and $H < X$. We write

$$C_h(Y) = \sum_{n \leq Y} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h), \qquad C_h^*(X) = \sup_{1 \leq Y \leq X-h} |C_h(Y)|.$$

All implied constants may depend on explicitly written fixed parameters, and $\varepsilon > 0$ may change from line to line. We use the Fourier transform convention appropriate to the Kuznetsov formula only at the formal spectral interface; no unstated transform identity is invoked in proving the collar implication.

The phrase *signed collar* refers to a statement in which the Liouville or Möbius signs are retained until the decisive estimate. The phrase *unstructured surface estimate* refers to a statement in which those signs have been replaced by completely arbitrary divisor-bounded coefficient arrays. The two formulations are not equivalent. The difference is proved in §4.1.

1.2. The Liouville Dirichlet series and the critical line

For $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, complete multiplicativity gives an absolutely convergent Euler product

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^j}{p^{js}}\right) = \prod_p \frac{1-p^{-s}}{1-p^{-2s}} = \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)}.$$

The following standard passage is included because it is the final step of the collar argument and must not be confused with the missing analytic estimate.

Theorem 1.1 (Summatory Liouville criterion)**.** *If for every $\varepsilon > 0$ one has*

$$L(X) = O_\varepsilon(X^{1/2+\varepsilon}),$$

then $\zeta(s)$ has no zero in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$. By the functional equation, all non-trivial zeros lie on $\operatorname{Re} s = 1/2$.

Proof. For $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ and $U \geq 1$, partial summation gives

$$\sum_{n \leq U} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} = \frac{L(U)}{U^s} + s\int_1^U \frac{L(t)}{t^{s+1}}\,dt.$$

Fix $\sigma = \operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$. Choose ε with $0 < \varepsilon < \sigma - 1/2$. Then $L(t)t^{-s-1} \ll t^{-1-(\sigma-1/2-\varepsilon)}$, and the integral converges absolutely as $U \to \infty$, locally uniformly in $\sigma > 1/2$. Hence $\sum_{n\geq 1} \lambda(n)n^{-s}$ is holomorphic in that half-plane and equals $\zeta(2s)/\zeta(s)$ by analytic continuation. Since $\zeta(2s)$ is holomorphic and nonzero for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ except for its pole at $s = 1/2$, a zero of $\zeta(s)$ with $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ would create a pole of a holomorphic function. This is impossible. The functional equation and conjugation reflect the zero-free region across the critical line. □

2. Liouville energy and the maximal collar implication

Lemma 2.1 (Square expansion)**.** *For every positive integer X,*

$$|L(X)|^2 = X + 2\sum_{1 \leq h < X} C_h(X-h).$$

Consequently,

$$|L(X)|^2 \leq X + 2\sum_{1 \leq h < X} C_h^*(X).$$

Proof. Expand $L(X)^2 = \sum_{m,n \leq X} \lambda(m)\lambda(n)$. The diagonal contributes X because $\lambda(n)^2 = 1$. In the upper triangle write $n = m + h$; the lower triangle is its transpose. Taking absolute values only after this exact identity gives the inequality. □

The identity fixes the correct scale of any closing estimate. A fixed-shift estimate, a logarithmic average, or an estimate after discarding the endpoint supremum is not enough by itself: the right side contains one term for every shift and the endpoint is $X - h$ before any enlargement is made.

2.1. Maximal dyadic collar energy

Definition 2.2 (Signed collar energy). *For dyadic $H < X$, define*

$$\mathcal{E}_2(X,H) = \sum_{H<h\le 2H} \big(C_h^*(X)\big)^2.$$

For a fixed $\eta > 0$, the signed maximal dyadic collar statement MDCE_η is

$$\mathcal{E}_2(X,H) \ll_{\varepsilon,\eta} X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1} \quad (1 \le H \le X^{1-\eta}), \tag{5.1}$$

together with the terminal range estimate

$$\sum_{X^{1-\eta}<h<X} C_h^*(X) \ll_{\varepsilon,\eta} X^{1+\varepsilon}. \tag{5.2}$$

Theorem 2.3 (Collar implication). *If MDCE_η holds for some $\eta > 0$, then $L(X) \ll_{\varepsilon,\eta} X^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 follows.*

Proof. On each dyadic block $H < h \le 2H$, Cauchy–Schwarz and (5.1) give

$$\sum_{H<h\le 2H} C_h^*(X) \le H^{1/2}\mathcal{E}_2(X,H)^{1/2} \ll X^{1+\varepsilon/2}.$$

There are $O(\log X)$ blocks with $H \le X^{1-\eta}$, and $\log X \ll_\varepsilon X^{\varepsilon/2}$. Adding (5.2) and inserting the result into Theorem 2.1 yields

$$|L(X)|^2 \ll_{\varepsilon,\eta} X^{1+\varepsilon},$$

which is the required square-root estimate after renaming ε. □

2.2. Endpoint linearization and Hilbert-space duality

For each h choose an endpoint $Y_h \le X - h$ for which

$$|C_h(Y_h)| \ge C_h^*(X) - 1.$$

Let ω_h be a unit complex number with $\omega_h C_h(Y_h) = |C_h(Y_h)|$. The square norm is recovered by duality:

$$\left(\sum_{H<h\le 2H} |C_h(Y_h)|^2\right)^{1/2} = \sup_{\sum|\beta_h|^2\le 1} \left|\sum_{H<h\le 2H} \beta_h \omega_h C_h(Y_h)\right|.$$

Thus the maximal collar requires a uniform estimate for a family in which the endpoint Y_h and the dual coefficient β_h may depend on h. This observation is exact and creates no analytic saving; it identifies the variables that any spectral theorem must carry.

Lemma 2.4 (Dual collar formulation). *Let $\mathcal{D}(X,H)$ be the supremum over all endpoint choices $Y_h \le X - h$ and all sequences β with $\sum_{H<h\le 2H} |\beta_h|^2 \le 1$ of*

$$\left|\sum_{H<h\le 2H} \beta_h \sum_{n\le Y_h} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)\right|.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}_2(X,H)^{1/2} \le \mathcal{D}(X,H) + H^{1/2},$$

and conversely $\mathcal{D}(X,H) \le \mathcal{E}_2(X,H)^{1/2}$.

Proof. The second inequality is Cauchy–Schwarz. For the first choose each Y_h within one unit of the supremum and use the phase choice above; the accumulated error has ℓ^2 norm at most $H^{1/2}$. □

2.3. Smooth endpoint replacement

Let $W \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $0 \le W \le 1$, $W(u) = 1$ for $u \le 0$, $W(u) = 0$ for $u \ge 1$, and $W^{(j)}(u) \ll_j 1$. For a parameter $\Delta \ge 1$ set

$$W_{Y,\Delta}(n) = W\left(\frac{n-Y}{\Delta}\right).$$

Then

$$\sum_{n \le Y} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h) = \sum_n \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)W_{Y,\Delta}(n) + O(\Delta).$$

No gain is claimed here. Choosing Δ only after the spectral estimate is known is essential: if the approximation error contributes more than $X^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1/2}$ to the dual norm, smoothing has consumed the saving the collar needs.

Lemma 2.5 (Endpoint smoothing error)**.** *For an endpoint field (Y_h) and $\|\beta\|_2 \le 1$,*

$$\left| \sum_{h \sim H} \beta_h \left(C_h(Y_h) - \sum_n \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)W_{Y_h,\Delta}(n) \right) \right| \ll H^{1/2}\Delta.$$

Proof. For each h at most $O(\Delta)$ terms are changed, each of modulus one. Cauchy–Schwarz in h proves the assertion. □

3. The endpoint-maximal spectral theorem

Write $\Pi(T)$ for one of the non-negative dyadic spectral measures in the Kuznetsov formula: the Maass spectrum with a non-negative smooth weight supported at $|t_\pi| \asymp T$, the Eisenstein spectral integral with the analogous weight, or the holomorphic spectrum at comparable weight. Let $\rho_\pi(n)$ denote the corresponding normalized Fourier coefficients. The classical Deshouillers–Iwaniec spectral large sieve is used in its fixed-endpoint form

$$\int_{\Pi(T)} \left| \sum_{N<n\le 2N} a_n \rho_\pi(n) \right|^2 d\mu_T(\pi) \ll_\varepsilon (T^2+N)(TN)^\varepsilon \sum_{N<n\le 2N} |a_n|^2. \tag{8.1}$$

This is a quoted spectral input from the cited literature; the new point below is that the moving endpoint does not add a positive power loss.

Let J be an interval of 2^K consecutive integers. For $0 \le r \le K$, let $\mathscr{D}_r$ be its dyadic subintervals of length 2^r. Every initial segment of J is the disjoint union of at most one member of each $\mathscr{D}_r$. Therefore, for arbitrary complex numbers z_n,

$$\sup_Y \left| \sum_{\substack{n \in J \\ n \le Y}} z_n \right|^2 \le (K+1) \sum_{r=0}^{K} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}_r} \left| \sum_{n \in I} z_n \right|^2. \tag{9.1}$$

This is the elementary Rademacher–Menshov mechanism in exactly the form needed for an endpoint field. It requires no hypothesis on the coefficients other than finite support.

3.1. The maximal endpoint spectral theorem

Theorem 3.1 (Maximal endpoint spectral large sieve)**.** *Let $V \in C_c^\infty((1/2,3))$ and let (a_n) be supported on $N < n \le 2N$. With*

$$\mathcal{C}_Y(\pi) = \sum_{\substack{N<n\le 2N \\ n \le Y}} a_n V(n/N)\rho_\pi(n),$$

one has, for each non-negative spectral component occurring in (8.1),

$$\int_{\Pi(T)} \sup_Y |\mathcal{C}_Y(\pi)|^2 d\mu_T(\pi) \ll_\varepsilon (T^2+N)(TN)^\varepsilon \sum_{N<n\le 2N} |a_n|^2. \tag{10.1}$$

Proof. Pad the support by zeroes to a dyadic interval J of length 2^K with $K \le 1 + \log_2 N$, and apply (9.1) with $z_n = a_n V(n/N)\rho_\pi(n)$. Integrate and invoke (8.1) for each dyadic interval. At each scale the intervals are disjoint, so the sum of the squared coefficient norms is $\sum |a_n V(n/N)|^2$. The factor $(K+1)^2$ is $O_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon)$ and is absorbed into the epsilon loss. The argument applies independently to each non-negative spectral measure. □

Corollary 3.2 (Endpoint maximality is not a power-loss obstruction)**.** *Once a shifted-convolution problem has legitimately reached a spectral large-sieve expression with its arithmetic coefficients intact, replacing a fixed endpoint by a supremum over endpoints costs only an admissible logarithmic factor.*

4. Why unsigned shifted-surface estimates fail

After a bilinear or square-divisor expansion, a shifted correlation commonly leads to expressions supported on

$$ab - cd = h.$$

The relevant dual form is not a single sum but a family

$$\sum_{H<h\le 2H} \beta_h \sum_{\substack{ab-cd=h\\ ab\le Y_h}} \alpha_a \beta'_b \gamma_c \delta_d \, W_{h,Y_h}(a,b,c,d).$$

The collision of notation between the outer dual sequence and an inner coefficient array is avoided below by writing the latter as u_b. The endpoint and dual sequence must remain arbitrary. The coefficient arrays, however, cannot be arbitrary if one expects Liouville cancellation: they arise with arithmetic signs and convolution relations inherited from λ.

4.1. Failure of the arbitrary-coefficient collar theorem

Theorem 4.1 (Positive coefficient obstruction)**.** *No estimate of the form*

$$\sum_{H<h\le 2H} \left| \sum_{\substack{ab-cd=h\\ ab\le Y_h}} \alpha_a u_b \gamma_c \delta_d \right|^2 \ll_{\varepsilon,\eta} X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1} \tag{12.1}$$

can hold uniformly for all divisor-bounded coefficient arrays on dyadic supports with $AB \asymp CD \asymp X$ and $1 \le H \le X^{1-\eta}$.

Proof. Let X be large and even and take $H = \lfloor X^{1/4} \rfloor$. Put $A = C = 1$, take $b, d \in [X/2, X] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, and set every displayed nonzero coefficient equal to one. Let $Y_h = X$. For $H < h \le 2H$, the inner sum is

$$S(h) = \#\{d \in [X/2, X] \cap \mathbb{Z} : d + h \le X\} = X/2 - h + O(1) \ge X/4$$

for all sufficiently large X. Therefore

$$\sum_{H<h\le 2H} |S(h)|^2 \gg HX^2 \gg X^{9/4}.$$

Taking $\varepsilon = 1/8$, the asserted right side in (12.1) is $O(X^{2+1/8}H^{-1}) = O(X^{15/8})$, a contradiction. □

This theorem changes the proof architecture. The shifted-surface expression cannot be estimated after replacing the Liouville structure by arbitrary positive or divisor-bounded data. Absolute recombination of generic Heath–Brown pieces erases exactly the cancellation the target estimate needs.

4.2. The square-divisor identity for the Liouville function

There is an exact expansion that retains sign information:

Lemma 4.2 (Square-divisor expansion)**.** *For every $n \ge 1$,*

$$\lambda(n) = \sum_{r^2 \mid n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{r^2}\right).$$

Proof. Both sides are multiplicative. For $n = p^e$, only exponents $e - 2j \in \{0, 1\}$ contribute, giving 1 when e is even and -1 when e is odd, which is $\lambda(p^e)$. □

Applying the lemma twice gives the exact signed expansion

$$C_h(Y) = \sum_{r,s\ge 1} \sum_{\substack{r^2 m \le Y\\ s^2\ell - r^2 m = h}} \mu(m)\mu(\ell). \tag{13.1}$$

The variables r, s carry square factors; the variables m, ℓ carry Möbius signs. Any spectral treatment derived from (13.1) must preserve these signs or replace them by a theorem of equal strength, not by a coefficient class containing the positive obstruction of Theorem 4.1.

4.3. Dyadic localization with retained signs

Choose a smooth dyadic partition $1 = \sum_R V(r/R)$ on positive real numbers, and similarly for s, m, ℓ. Then (13.1) is a finite logarithmic sum of pieces

$$\sum_{r \asymp R} \sum_{s \asymp S} V_R(r) V_S(s) \sum_{\substack{m \asymp M,\ \ell \asymp L \\ s^2\ell - r^2 m = h}} \mu(m)\mu(\ell) V_M(m) V_L(\ell) W_{Y_h}(r^2 m), \tag{14.1}$$

with $R^2 M \asymp S^2 L \asymp X$. The number of pieces is $O((\log X)^4)$ and therefore is harmless only after each signed piece is controlled at the collar scale. The Möbius coefficients in (14.1) are not optional decorations; they are the structural difference between the original correlation and the false uniform surface bound.

Proposition 4.3 (Sign-preserving recombination)**.** *Assume that every dyadic signed piece in (14.1), uniformly in its endpoint wall and the outer ℓ^2 dual sequence, is bounded by*

$$\ll_{\varepsilon,\eta} X^{1+\varepsilon} H^{-1/2}$$

after absorbing its smooth partition derivatives. Then the same dual bound holds for the complete Liouville collar, after changing ε.

Proof. There are at most a fixed power of $\log X$ pieces. Summing them by the triangle inequality introduces $(\log X)^B$, which is absorbed into X^ε. No coefficient class is enlarged, and the Möbius signs remain inside each estimated piece. □

For a smooth compactly supported test function w and integers $q \geq 1$, the orthogonality identity

$$\mathbf{1}_{u=0} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{a \bmod q} e\left(\frac{au}{q}\right) \quad \text{whenever } q > |u|$$

illustrates the basic additive detector. In a scalable delta method one averages over moduli and integrates a smooth real variable so that equality is detected without requiring a single modulus exceeding the full range. The detector itself is an identity; the saving comes only after estimating the resulting Kloosterman and Bessel transforms.

In the signed surface (14.1) an additive detector introduces phases

$$e\left(\frac{a(s^2\ell - r^2 m - h)}{q}\right) = e\left(-\frac{ah}{q}\right) e\left(\frac{as^2\ell}{q}\right) e\left(-\frac{ar^2 m}{q}\right).$$

The Möbius sums now appear inside oscillatory transforms. A valid spectral theorem must exploit this structure; it cannot estimate all choices of signs and positive coefficients simultaneously at a scale ruled out by Theorem 4.1.

Let Q be the modulus length of a smooth detector. Before applying any trace formula, two losses must be balanced: the resolution error of detecting $s^2\ell - r^2 m = h$ and the size of the spectral family introduced by moduli $q \asymp Q$. A formal choice of Q does not prove a saving. It determines the regime in which a later signed spectral estimate must be established.

Definition 4.4 (Structured spectral collar target)**.** *A structured collar theorem at scale (X, H) is an estimate, uniform in endpoints Y_h and ℓ^2 dual sequences, for the signed pieces in (14.1) after additive detection and spectral transformation, strong enough to yield*

$$\mathcal{D}(X, H) \ll_{\varepsilon,\eta} X^{1+\varepsilon} H^{-1/2}. \tag{16.1}$$

Theorem 4.5 (Exact closure interface)**.** *If the structured spectral collar target (16.1) holds for all dyadic $H \leq X^{1-\eta}$ and the terminal range obeys (5.2), then the Riemann Hypothesis follows.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 2.4, then Theorem 2.3, and finally Theorem 1.1. □

4.4. Diagonal configurations on the signed surface

The diagonal $r = s$, $m = \ell$ belongs only to the zero shift and therefore does not contribute when $h > 0$. Partial diagonals can contribute: for example $r = s$ leaves the shifted relation $r^2(\ell - m) = h$, which forces $r^2 \mid h$. The corresponding contribution is

$$\sum_{r^2 | h} \sum_{m \leq Y/r^2} \mu(m)\mu\left(m + \frac{h}{r^2}\right),$$

again a signed shifted Möbius correlation. It cannot be bounded at square-root scale by positivity. This elementary observation is another reason the transformed problem cannot become a generic divisor-bounded four-variable estimate.

Lemma 4.6 (Square-factor divisor count)**.** *For every $h \geq 1$,*

$$\#\{r : r^2 \mid h\} \leq \tau(h) \ll_\varepsilon h^\varepsilon.$$

Proof. Every square divisor is a divisor; the standard divisor bound proves the assertion. □

The square-factor multiplicity is harmless. The shifted Möbius correlation left after extracting it is not presently supplied by an unconditional square-scale theorem in the manuscript.

Suppose one replaces $\mu(m)\mu(\ell)$ in (14.1) by its absolute value. On the subfamily $r = s = 1$, the inner equation becomes $\ell - m = h$, and the number of terms is $\asymp X$ for every $h = o(X)$. Thus the absolute-value majorant has collar energy $\gg HX^2$, identical to the obstruction in Theorem 4.1. The cancellation is not a small improvement to a positive estimate; it is the entire source of the required power saving.

Corollary 4.7 (No termwise positive majorant)**.** *No proof of (5.1) can proceed by taking absolute values of the signed square-divisor pieces before the decisive mean-square dispersion estimate.*

5. Sign-preserving arithmetic and spectral reduction

The maximal theorem Theorem 3.1 has a precise role. Once a signed transformed piece has the form

$$\int_{\Pi(T)} A(\pi) \sum_{n \leq Y_h} b_{h,n}\rho_\pi(n)\, d\mu_T(\pi),$$

with the remaining factors already estimated in an L^2 norm, the supremum over Y_h may be retained for a logarithmic price. The theorem does not produce cancellation in the Möbius transform $b_{h,n}$ and does not substitute for a signed Kuznetsov estimate. It closes one quantifier without solving the central arithmetic problem.

5.1. Mellin separation of smooth dyadic weights

Let U, V be smooth compactly supported weights. Mellin inversion gives

$$U(x)V(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(0)} \int_{(0)} \widetilde{U}(s)\widetilde{V}(t) x^{-s} y^{-t}\, ds\, dt.$$

Repeated integration by parts shows rapid decay of $\widetilde{U}$ and $\widetilde{V}$ on vertical lines. Thus smooth product weights in (14.1) may be separated at a polylogarithmic cost after truncating the Mellin integrals. This is an exact analytic simplification and preserves the signs $\mu(m)\mu(\ell)$.

Lemma 5.1 (Mellin truncation)**.** *For every $A > 0$, the vertical integrals may be truncated at imaginary height X^A with error $O_A(X^{-A})$ after choosing sufficiently many derivatives of the weights.*

Proof. Integrate by parts repeatedly in the definition of the Mellin transforms and use the compact support of the weights. □

The Kuznetsov formula converts weighted Kloosterman sums into a spectral sum involving Maass forms and an Eisenstein integral, with a holomorphic contribution in the appropriate variant. The fixed spectral large sieve controls an L^2 norm of coefficient transforms. In this problem the target is more restrictive: after all moduli, spectral parameters, endpoint walls, square factors and Möbius variables are recombined, the result must be (16.1).

This requirement is an exponent statement. A residual contribution of size $X^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1/2}H^\theta$ for any fixed $\theta > 0$ fails after summing dyadic collars. Similarly, a spectral transition term of order $X^{3/2}H^{1/2}$ cannot be dismissed on the full range. The manuscript therefore retains the structured target as a theorem to be established rather than converting a transition heuristic into a conclusion.

A Bessel transform of a smooth weight is rapidly decaying away from its transition range. Abstractly, if a phase ϕ satisfies $|\phi'(u)| \geq \Lambda$ on the support of a smooth function w, then

$$\int w(u)e^{i\phi(u)}\, du = \int \left(\frac{1}{i\phi'(u)}\frac{d}{du}\right)^J w_J(u)e^{i\phi(u)}\, du \ll_J \Lambda^{-J} \sum_{j \leq J} \|w^{(j)}\|_1.$$

This proves rapid decay in non-stationary spectral ranges. It does not determine the size of the transition range, where stationary phase and arithmetic coefficient norms decide the final exponent.

Bounds toward Selberg's eigenvalue conjecture limit the growth contributed by exceptional spectral parameters in classical Kuznetsov applications. Such a bound may absorb an exceptional family after a valid signed transform has been reached. It does not convert the false arbitrary-coefficient estimate of Theorem 4.1 into a true one. The order of the argument is important: arithmetic structure must survive to the spectral step before spectral gap information can be used.

5.2. Large shifts and the terminal range

The collar implication separates $H \le X^{1-\eta}$ from the terminal range $h > X^{1-\eta}$. For such large h, the inner sum has length at most $X - h < X$, but the trivial estimate gives

$$\sum_{h>X^{1-\eta}} C_h^*(X) \ll X^2,$$

which is far too large. The terminal estimate (5.2) is therefore an actual analytic requirement, not a boundary term that disappears by shortening the interval. A complete signed collar proof must treat it, perhaps through a complementary short-sum estimate retaining Liouville cancellation.

Although finite computation cannot establish a theorem quantified over all X, it can verify every displayed exact identity at chosen scales. For integers X and H, define

$$E(X,H) = \sum_{H<h\le 2H} \max_{1\le Y\le X-h} \left| \sum_{n\le Y} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h) \right|^2 .$$

Direct calculation checks Theorem 2.1 and the inequality

$$|L(X)|^2 \le X + 2 \sum_{H \text{ dyadic}} H^{1/2} E(X,H)^{1/2}.$$

After splitting the shift interval dyadically. Such checks test algebra and implementation; they do not establish (5.1) uniformly in X.

5.3. A corrected main theorem for the collar method

Theorem 5.2 (Proved content and exact remaining estimate)**.** *The following statements hold.*

(i) *The maximal endpoint spectral large sieve Theorem 3.1 follows from the classical fixed-endpoint spectral large sieve with no positive power loss.*

(ii) *The coefficient-uniform shifted-surface estimate (12.1) is false, even for coefficients bounded by one.*

(iii) *The exact signed expansion (13.1), endpoint duality and dyadic recombination reduce the Liouville-collar method to the structured signed estimate (16.1), together with the terminal range estimate (5.2).*

(iv) *If those two signed estimates are proved, then the Riemann Hypothesis follows.*

Proof. Parts (i)–(iv) are respectively Theorems 3.1, 4.1 to 4.3 and 4.5. □

The theorem is the mathematically complete outcome of the present reconstruction. It strengthens the spectral endpoint layer, removes a false intermediate claim, and identifies the precise signed statement that cannot be replaced by a positive coefficient theorem.

5.4. The zero-exclusion calculation in full

Assume the signed estimates in Theorem 4.5. Then $L(X) \ll_\varepsilon X^{1/2+\varepsilon}$. For $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma > 1/2$ and $U > 1$,

$$F_U(s) = \sum_{n\le U} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} = L(U)U^{-s} + s\int_1^U L(u)u^{-s-1}\,du.$$

The first term tends to zero, and the integral converges normally on compact subsets of $\sigma > 1/2$. Its limit $F(s)$ is holomorphic there. On $\sigma > 1$, Euler products give $F(s) = \zeta(2s)/\zeta(s)$, and the identity extends throughout the connected half-plane $\sigma > 1/2$. If $\zeta(\rho) = 0$ with $\operatorname{Re}\rho > 1/2$, then $\zeta(2\rho)$ is finite and nonzero except at no point in that region, while $1/\zeta(s)$ has a pole at ρ; this contradicts holomorphy of F. The functional equation maps zeros with $\operatorname{Re}\rho < 1/2$ to zeros with real part $> 1/2$. Non-trivial zeros must therefore lie on the critical line.

By Theorem 2.4, the mean-square estimate (5.1) is equivalent, up to an admissible error, to the dual bound

$$\sup_{\|\beta\|_2\le 1}\ \sup_{Y_h\le X-h}\left|\sum_{H<h\le 2H}\beta_h\sum_{n\le Y_h}\lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)\right| \ll_{\varepsilon,\eta} X^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1/2}. \tag{29.1}$$

Using (13.1), it is also equivalent to proving the same estimate for the recombined signed square-divisor surface. Neither equivalent form permits replacement of the signs by an arbitrary divisor-bounded coefficient class. Equation (29.1) is thus the correct pointwise analytic target for the route developed in the fixed abstract.

5.5. A formal non-loss chain

Every completed proof by this method must have the following form:

signed spectral collar estimate with endpoints and dual signs

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{E}_2(X,H) \ll X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1} \quad (H\le X^{1-\eta})$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$|L(X)|^2 \ll X^{1+\varepsilon}$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\sum_{n\ge 1}\lambda(n)n^{-s} \text{ holomorphic for } \operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\zeta(s)\ne 0 \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} s > 1/2.$$

The first line is the sole non-formal analytic obligation after the revisions proved here. The remaining lines have been derived explicitly, without suppressed averages, hidden endpoint replacement, or positive-majorant substitution.

A future candidate for (29.1) can be subjected to necessary tests before a global spectral proof is attempted. It must preserve cancellation on the subfamily $r = s = 1$ of (13.1); it must not become positive after dyadic decomposition; its endpoint smoothing error must satisfy $H^{1/2}\Delta \ll X^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1/2}$; its non-stationary Bessel tails must be summable over the detector moduli; and each spectral component must return to the same target exponent before recombination. These are direct consequences of the equations already proved here, not stylistic preferences.

6. Analytic completion from a signed collar theorem

The transition from a sequence to its Dirichlet series may be carried out either with a hard cutoff or with a smooth cutoff. Both forms are needed when a spectral argument introduces test functions. Let $a(n)$ be any sequence with summatory function $A(x) = \sum_{n\le x} a(n)$. If $w \in C_c^1((0,\infty))$, Stieltjes integration gives

$$\sum_{n\ge 1} a(n)w(n/X) = -\int_0^\infty A(t)\,\frac{d}{dt}w(t/X)\,dt = -\int_0^\infty A(Xu)w'(u)\,du. \tag{31.1}$$

In particular, any pointwise estimate $A(x) \ll x^\theta$ transfers immediately to smooth averages at scale X^θ. Conversely, if one has such estimates uniformly for a family of smooth functions which majorize and minorize the characteristic function of $[0,1]$ with a boundary layer of length Δ/X, then the hard cutoff differs from the smoothed sum by a sum of $O(\Delta)$ coefficients. For $a(n) = \lambda(n)$ this boundary error is at most $O(\Delta)$ since $|\lambda(n)| = 1$.

Lemma 6.1 (Abel transform with a moving endpoint)**.** *Let $h \ge 1$ and put $a_h(n) = \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)$. If $W_{Y,\Delta}$ is the endpoint wall introduced above, then for any smooth g supported in $[1,2]$,*

$$\sum_n a_h(n)g(n/X)W_{Y,\Delta}(n) = -\int_0^\infty C_h(t)\,d\big(g(t/X)W_{Y,\Delta}(t)\big).$$

The total variation of the differential is $O(1 + X/\Delta)$ uniformly in Y.

Proof. The first assertion is partial summation. The derivative is the sum of $X^{-1}g'(t/X)W_{Y,\Delta}(t)$ and $\Delta^{-1}g(t/X)W'((t-Y)/\Delta)$; integration over supports of lengths $O(X)$ and $O(\Delta)$ proves the variation bound. □

The lemma clarifies one source of loss. Smoothing the endpoint is harmless only when the transform to which it is applied pays no additional factor comparable to X/Δ. In a maximal theorem the parameter Y is not a passive label: it is a field of walls, one for each shift, and every derivative estimate must remain uniform in that field.

6.1. Dyadic collars and square-energy bookkeeping

For an integer $J \ge 0$ define $H_j = 2^j$ and choose J so that $H_J \le X^{1-\eta} < 2H_J$. The shift sum in Theorem 2.1 has the exact decomposition

$$\sum_{1\le h<X} C_h(X-h) = \sum_{0\le j\le J}\ \sum_{H_j<h\le 2H_j} C_h(X-h) + \sum_{2H_J<h<X} C_h(X-h), \tag{32.1}$$

up to the first shift $h=1$, which may be placed in the first block. The Cauchy–Schwarz step has no hidden exponent:

$$\left|\sum_{H<h\le 2H} C_h(X-h)\right| \le H^{1/2}\left(\sum_{H<h\le 2H} |C_h(X-h)|^2\right)^{1/2} \le H^{1/2}\mathcal{E}_2(X,H)^{1/2}. \tag{32.2}$$

Under (5.1), the right side is $O(X^{1+\varepsilon/2})$, independent of H. This is why the collar exponent is exactly H^{-1}: a weaker bound $X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1+\delta}$ leaves $H^{\delta/2}$ after (32.2), and the largest admissible collar produces a positive power of X.

Proposition 6.2 (Exponent rigidity of the collar)**.** *Suppose an estimate of the form*

$$\mathcal{E}_2(X,H) \ll X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1+\delta}$$

holds uniformly for $H \le X^{1-\eta}$ with a fixed $\delta > 0$. Inserting only this estimate into the square identity yields at best

$$|L(X)|^2 \ll X^{1+\varepsilon+(1-\eta)\delta/2},$$

which does not imply the Littlewood–Denjoy exponent.

Proof. Apply (32.2) and take the maximum at $H \asymp X^{1-\eta}$. The logarithmic number of collars is absorbed into X^ε but the positive power cannot be absorbed for all ε. □

Let $\mathcal{H}_H = \ell^2(\{h : H < h \le 2H\})$. For each endpoint field $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_h)$ define the vector

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{Y}) = \left(\sum_{n\le Y_h} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)\right)_{H<h\le 2H} \in \mathcal{H}_H.$$

Then

$$\sup_{\mathbf{Y}} \|\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{Y})\|_{\mathcal{H}_H} = \sup_{\mathbf{Y}}\ \sup_{\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2\le 1}\left|\sum_{h\sim H}\beta_h \sum_{n\le Y_h}\lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)\right|. \tag{33.1}$$

Here and below $h \sim H$ abbreviates $H < h \le 2H$. Equation (33.1) is an equality of finite-dimensional Hilbert norms. It is stronger than selecting one common endpoint Y: the optimizer may select a different wall on every shift.

Lemma 6.3 (Failure of a common-wall reduction)**.** *There is no formal inequality bounding* $\sup_{\mathbf{Y}} \|\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{Y})\|_2$ *by a fixed constant multiple of* $\sup_Y \|(C_h(Y))_{h\sim H}\|_2$ *for arbitrary arrays $C_h(Y)$.*

Proof. Take H distinct endpoint labels Y_h and set $C_h(Y_h) = 1$ while $C_h(Y) = 0$ for all other pairs. The left side is $H^{1/2}$ whereas the common-wall supremum is 1. □

In a Liouville problem the correlations are not arbitrary arrays, but any reduction from moving walls to one wall would require a theorem using their arithmetic structure. It cannot be inserted silently before the dispersion step.

The binary interval proof of Theorem 3.1 is sufficient for the present purpose. A complementary formulation replaces the supremum by a discrete Sobolev norm. For a finite sequence $S(Y) = \sum_{n\le Y} z_n$ on $N < Y \le 2N$,

$$\max_Y |S(Y)|^2 \le |S(2N)|^2 + 2 \sum_{Y=N+1}^{2N} |S(Y)|\,|z_Y|.$$

By Cauchy–Schwarz,

$$\max_Y |S(Y)|^2 \le |S(2N)|^2 + 2 \left(\sum_Y |S(Y)|^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_Y |z_Y|^2\right)^{1/2}. \tag{34.1}$$

This form is useful only if the spectral integral controls the square sum of partial sums. Summing the fixed-endpoint large sieve over Y pays an unacceptable factor N; the dyadic binary decomposition avoids that loss and is therefore the sharp elementary device for the present endpoint problem.

Write $n = a^2b$ with b square-free whenever a is the largest integer whose square divides n. Then $\lambda(n) = \lambda(b) = \mu(b)$. This unique decomposition gives a second form of Theorem 4.2:

$$\lambda(n) = \sum_{\substack{a^2b=n \\ b \text{ square-free}}} \mu(b). \tag{35.1}$$

For the correlation it follows that

$$C_h(Y) = \sum_{a,c\ge1} \sum_{\substack{a^2b\le Y,\ c^2d=a^2b+h \\ b,d \text{ squarefree}}} \mu(b)\mu(d). \tag{35.2}$$

Unlike an unrestricted four-variable convolution, (35.2) imposes square-freeness and signs simultaneously. Either condition may be encoded by additional sums, but removing both enlarges the family beyond the scale compatible with the collar theorem.

Lemma 6.4 (Square-free indicator)**.** *For every positive integer m,*

$$\mathbf{1}_{m \text{ squarefree}} = \sum_{e^2|m} \mu(e).$$

Proof. Both sides are multiplicative; on p^j the sum is 1 for $j \in \{0,1\}$ and 0 for $j \ge 2$. □

Inserting this indicator into (35.2) produces more square divisors, not an arbitrary positive coefficient family. Every legitimate rearrangement retains a Möbius product at the terminal oscillatory stage.

6.2. A signed dyadic bilinear form

For smooth weights U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4 and dyadic scales satisfying $R^2M \asymp S^2L \asymp X$, define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_{R,S,M,L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{Y}) = \sum_{h\sim H} \beta_h \sum_{r,s\ge1} & U_1(r/R)U_2(s/S) \\ \times & \sum_{m,\ell\ge1} \mu(m)\mu(\ell)U_3(m/M)U_4(\ell/L) \\ \times & W_{Y_h,\Delta}(r^2m)\, \mathbf{1}_{s^2\ell-r^2m=h}.\end{aligned} \tag{36.1}$$

The required estimate for each piece is

$$|\mathfrak{B}_{R,S,M,L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{Y})| \ll_{\varepsilon,\eta} X^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1/2} \quad (\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2 \le 1). \tag{36.2}$$

The finite sum over dyadic parameters may then be recombined by Theorem 4.3. This formulation is exact: it has the endpoint wall, the shift duality, the square-divisor geometry, and the Möbius signs in one expression.

Proposition 6.5 (A necessary subfamily estimate)**.** *If (36.2) holds uniformly, then the specialization* $R = S = 1$ *gives*

$$\sup_{\|\beta\|_2 \le 1} \sup_{\mathbf{Y}} \left| \sum_{h \sim H} \beta_h \sum_{m \le Y_h} \mu(m)\mu(m+h) U_3(m/M) U_4((m+h)/L) \right| \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} H^{-1/2}.$$

Proof. Select weights supported at $r = s = 1$ in (36.1). The equation becomes $\ell - m = h$. □

Thus the signed collar theorem contains, as a subproblem, an ordinary maximal mean-square form of two-point Möbius correlation at the square-root scale. This is not furnished by logarithmically averaged correlation theorems.

Suppose one knows a logarithmically weighted estimate

$$\sum_{n \le X} \frac{\lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)}{n} = o(\log X)$$

for a fixed shift or on an average of shifts. Partial summation does not invert this estimate into

$$\sum_{n \le Y} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h) = O(Y^{1/2+\varepsilon})$$

uniformly in Y and in a dyadic family of h. The logarithmic measure places substantially smaller mass on the upper portion of the interval and does not control the maximal cutoff.

Proposition 6.6 (Non-implication at the level of sequences)**.** *There exist sequences* $a_n \in \{-1, 1\}$ *for which* $\sum_{n \le X} a_n/n = O(1)$ *while* $\sup_{Y \le X} |\sum_{n \le Y} a_n| \gg X$ *along a sequence of* X*.*

Proof. Arrange long consecutive blocks of $+1$ followed by longer compensating blocks of -1, choosing their endpoints so that the weighted block contribution is bounded while the unweighted partial sum at the end of a positive block has its full length. Since $1/n$ changes little only on a relative block, exponentially separated blocks allow both properties simultaneously. □

This elementary model does not assert that Liouville behaves in that way. It proves that an ordinary maximal collar estimate cannot be inferred merely by changing the measure in an averaged theorem.

For a modulus q the finite Fourier identity

$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{a \bmod q} e\left(\frac{au}{q}\right) = \mathbf{1}_{q|u}$$

detects congruence rather than equality. If $|u| < q$, it detects equality; otherwise it must be combined over moduli or with a smooth delta decomposition. For the signed surface, congruence detection gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{s^2\ell - r^2 m = h \\ \text{localized}}} \mu(m)\mu(\ell)(\cdots) \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{a \bmod q} e(-ah/q) \sum_{r,m} \mu(m)(\cdots) e(-ar^2 m/q) \sum_{s,\ell} \mu(\ell)(\cdots) e(as^2\ell/q), \end{aligned} \tag{38.1}$$

provided equality is appropriately isolated. Formula (38.1) explains why a spectral transform can be relevant: it creates products of arithmetic exponential sums. It also displays why signs cannot be removed before transformation.

Let the localized variables in (36.1) have lengths R, S, M, L. A detector with moduli $q \asymp Q$ creates a family whose total modulus mass is roughly Q^2, while Poisson or Voronoi transformations change lengths by reciprocal factors involving q. A balance point is found by equating the principal pre-transform and post-transform lengths, but this calculation is only a choice of coordinate window. It is not a proof of cancellation.

For example, if one formally writes a transition contribution in the shape

$$X^{1+\varepsilon} H^{-1/2} \left(\frac{Q}{Q_0} + \frac{Q_0}{Q} \right),$$

then its minimum is attained at $Q = Q_0$. The statement is calculus, not number theory. The content of a collar theorem would be the derivation of such a bound with the signed coefficients and all endpoint parameters present.

The Kuznetsov trace formula, in a conventional normalization, relates a sum of Kloosterman sums weighted by a Bessel transform to a spectral expression of the schematic form

$$\sum_{\pi} \frac{\rho_\pi(m)\overline{\rho_\pi(n)}}{\cosh(\pi t_\pi)} \widehat{\Phi}(t_\pi) + \text{continuous spectrum} + \text{holomorphic spectrum}.$$

After Cauchy–Schwarz, the spectral large sieve controls sums with coefficient norms. In a signed collar proof those norms arise from Möbius-weighted transforms. It is not enough to know that each coefficient is bounded by a divisor function; the norm and the correlations between transformed blocks determine whether the target $H^{-1/2}$ survives.

Lemma 6.7 (Norm monotonicity does not recover sign cancellation)**.** *For an arbitrary coefficient vector a, replacing it by $|a|$ preserves $\sum |a_n|^2$ but may increase bilinear correlations $\sum a_n \overline{a_{n+h}}$ from a cancellation-sized value to its full length.*

Proof. Take alternating signs in one vector and compare with the constant positive vector on the same interval. □

A spectral large sieve sees an ℓ^2 norm after a transform. To exploit cancellation in a correlation, the transformation leading to that norm must be compatible with the signed arithmetic, not merely with a coefficient majorant.

6.3. A maximal spectral estimate for vector-valued coefficients

The binary decomposition extends without change to a finite-dimensional Hilbert coefficient space. Let v_n be vectors in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}$ and define

$$S_Y(\pi) = \sum_{n \le Y} \rho_\pi(n) v_n.$$

Assume a fixed-endpoint estimate

$$\int_{\Pi(T)} \left\| \sum_n \rho_\pi(n) v_n \right\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 d\mu_T(\pi) \ll (T^2 + N)(TN)^\varepsilon \sum_n \|v_n\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2.$$

Applying the dyadic interval inequality in $\mathcal{V}$ gives

$$\int_{\Pi(T)} \sup_Y \|S_Y(\pi)\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 d\mu_T(\pi) \ll (T^2 + N)(TN)^\varepsilon \sum_n \|v_n\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2. \tag{41.1}$$

This variant permits the endpoint variable to coexist with a residual finite dyadic index or Mellin parameter. It does not alter the arithmetic qualification attached to v_n.

When a trace formula is applied, the transformed expression separates into a diagonal term, a discrete Maass term, an Eisenstein integral, and possibly a holomorphic term. An unconditional statement at the collar scale requires each component to be bounded at that scale or for cancellation between components to be proved before separation. Because the spectral measure is non-negative only componentwise after appropriate weights, it is safest to require componentwise estimates.

The diagonal component can often be evaluated exactly, but after the signed square-divisor expansion even a partial diagonal retains shifted Möbius sums. The continuous component can be approached by mean values of Dirichlet series, but its endpoint-uniform signed bound still belongs to the central target. The maximal spectral theorem resolves the moving endpoint after these reductions; it does not supply their missing coefficient cancellation.

6.4. A weighted signed collar criterion

The sharp cutoff may be replaced by uniformly controlled smooth weights without altering the logical endpoint. Let G_h be smooth functions supported in $[1, X - h + \Delta]$, equal to 1 on $[1, X - h]$, with derivatives bounded by Δ^{-j}. Define

$$C_{h,G}(X) = \sum_n \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h) G_h(n).$$

Proposition 6.8 (Weighted-to-sharp transfer)**.** *If, for a choice* $\Delta \le X^{\varepsilon} H^{-1}$*, one has uniformly*

$$\sum_{h \sim H} |C_{h,G}(X)|^2 \ll X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1},$$

then the corresponding sharp endpoint energy has the same bound after changing ε*.*

Proof. The difference has modulus $O(\Delta)$ for each h, hence squared sum $O(H\Delta^2)$. Under the stated choice this is $O(X^{2\varepsilon} H^{-1})$, negligible relative to the target for $X \ge 2$. □

The proposition specifies the boundary-layer scale that a smooth delta or Bessel transform must tolerate. It prevents the smoothing stage from silently spending the collar saving.

Combining Theorems 4.2 and 6.8, it suffices to prove the following family of signed estimates. For every smooth localized tuple (R, S, M, L) with $R^2 M \asymp S^2 L \asymp X$, every endpoint field, and every ℓ^2 dual vector,

$$|\mathfrak{B}_{R,S,M,L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{Y})| \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} H^{-1/2}. \tag{44.1}$$

After summation over the $O((\log X)^4)$ tuples, (44.1) gives (29.1). This is a precise analytical theorem: unlike the invalid coefficient-uniform statement, it is no stronger than the original Liouville collar itself, because it is obtained from an exact signed decomposition and a reversible smooth partition.

It is natural to seek a positive kernel $K_{X,H}(m, \ell)$ for which the signed collar energy becomes a quadratic form in μ. The basic expansion gives

$$\sum_{h \sim H} \left| \sum_{m} \mu(m)\mu(m+h) w_h(m) \right|^2 = \sum_{m_1, m_2} \mu(m_1)\mu(m_2)\, K_{X,H}(m_1, m_2), \tag{45.1}$$

where K itself contains shifted products of Möbius values. Positivity of the outer square proves only non-negativity of (45.1); it does not prove the desired upper bound. A useful coercivity theorem would need a spectral decomposition of K whose large modes are annihilated or damped by the Möbius signs. No such theorem follows from positivity alone.

Criterion 6.9 (Kernel route)**.** *A kernel construction would establish the missing signed collar estimate if it produced an operator* $\mathcal{K}_{X,H}$ *satisfying*

$$0 \le \langle \mu, \mathcal{K}_{X,H} \mu \rangle \ll X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1}$$

uniformly after endpoint maximization, with an explicit proof of the upper bound on the actual Möbius vector rather than on all bounded vectors.

This criterion is included to identify one possible form of new machinery. It does not assert the required upper bound.

Another possible route is a recursion from scale X to smaller scales by separating square factors. From (13.1), terms with $r, s > R_0$ have $m, \ell \ll X/R_0^2$, while terms with r or s small retain long Möbius correlations. Choosing $R_0 = X^{\theta}$ decomposes the collar into a short-scale tail and a bounded number of long signed cores. The tail can be controlled trivially only when its total mass is below the target; the core again contains the correlation at essentially the original scale. Thus square-factor recursion alone does not force descent unless supplemented by a cancellation theorem for the small-square core.

Proposition 6.10 (Persistence of the core)**.** *For every* $R_0 \ge 2$*, the term* $r = s = 1$ *remains in the small-square core and equals a maximal shifted Möbius correlation. Therefore no choice of* R_0 *eliminates the principal signed target.*

Proof. The assertion follows from the specialization in Theorem 6.5. □

The exact remaining theorem can be formulated as a mean value statement for signed transforms. Let

$$M_h(Y) = \sum_{m \le Y} \mu(m)\mu(m+h).$$

A theorem of the form

$$\sum_{h \sim H} \sup_{Y \le X} |M_h(Y)|^2 \ll X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1} \tag{47.1}$$

would already control the persistent $r = s = 1$ core. The full Liouville collar additionally requires uniformity under square dilations and smooth weights. Statement (47.1) is substantially stronger than known logarithmic average results because it is ordinary, maximal, and at a reciprocal collar scale.

The introduction of this explicit subtarget is valuable for any future attempt: it prevents time being spent on estimates that cannot even control the smallest square-factor stratum.

6.5. The half-plane continuation has no hidden denominator issue

The analytic continuation argument deserves a small clarification. If ρ is a zero of $\zeta(s)$ with $\operatorname{Re}\rho > 1/2$, then 2ρ lies in $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, where $\zeta(2\rho)$ is holomorphic and nonzero. Hence $\zeta(2s)/\zeta(s)$ has a pole at $s = \rho$ of the same order as the zero of the denominator. This contradicts the holomorphic continuation of the Liouville Dirichlet series supplied by the summatory bound. No cancellation between numerator and denominator is possible in the open right half-plane.

The exact identities introduced in the revision are amenable to direct finite verification. One may compute $\lambda(n)$ both from prime-factor parity and from Theorem 4.2, verify (13.1) for every h, Y below a selected bound, evaluate the positive-coefficient obstruction in Theorem 4.1, and check the square identity in Theorem 2.1. These computations provide independent checks of algebra and typesetting. They are not presented as evidence for an estimate quantified over arbitrary X.

The main theorem of the reconstructed interior is Theorem 5.2. It establishes a genuine maximal endpoint spectral theorem and an exact obstruction theorem. It also proves the formal implication from a correctly structured signed collar estimate to the Riemann Hypothesis. What is not proved is the signed estimate (44.1), or even its persistent Möbius subfamily (47.1), at the required ordinary maximal scale. Any unconditional critical-line theorem by this method must supply that estimate without using the false arbitrary-coefficient majorization excluded above.

This is not a stylistic qualification. The counterexample is a theorem internal to the calculation, and it prevents the previous coefficient-uniform bridge from being accepted as a proof. The repaired manuscript therefore supplies more rigorous mathematics while stating precisely where a future construction must enter.

7. Perron, Fourier energy and endpoint operators

The Abel-summation bridge can be supplemented by a truncated Perron formula, which is useful for identifying the analytic scale forced by a summatory estimate. Let $c > 1$ and $T \geq 2$. For a non-integral $x > 1$ one has

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)} \frac{x^s}{s}\, ds + \mathcal{R}(x, T; c), \tag{50.1}$$

where the truncation error is bounded by the standard Perron boundary expression

$$\mathcal{R}(x, T; c) \ll \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^c} \min\left(1, \frac{x}{T|x-n|}\right).$$

Formula (50.1) is not used to cross the critical line; the denominator $\zeta(s)$ prevents moving the contour through an unknown zero. Its role here is diagnostic: a proof of $L(x) \ll x^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ supplies continuation of the ratio before contour displacement is attempted. Thus the collar route correctly seeks a summatory theorem rather than assuming a zero-free contour.

Lemma 7.1 (Smoothed Perron identity). *Let $w \in C_c^\infty((0,\infty))$ and let $\widetilde{w}(s) = \int_0^\infty w(u) u^{s-1}\, du$. For $\sigma > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda(n) w(n/X) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)} X^s \widetilde{w}(s)\, ds.$$

Moreover $\widetilde{w}(\sigma + it) \ll_{A,w} (1+|t|)^{-A}$ for every $A > 0$ on bounded vertical strips.

Proof. Absolute convergence for $\sigma > 1$ permits interchange of the Dirichlet series and the Mellin integral. The decay follows by repeated integration by parts in $\int w(e^v) e^{sv}\, dv$. □

This identity explains why smoothing is natural in the spectral core. It also confirms that the unsmoothed summatory function remains the quantity required at the end: the zero-exclusion theorem is a statement about continuation of a Dirichlet series, and the required estimates must be uniform as smooth weights approximate hard cutoffs.

7.1. Fourier energy of a finite Liouville polynomial

Set

$$P_X(\theta) = \sum_{n \le X} \lambda(n) e(n\theta), \qquad e(u) = e^{2\pi i u}.$$

Parseval gives

$$\int_0^1 |P_X(\theta)|^2 \, d\theta = X,$$

while expanding the fourth power gives a correlation identity:

$$\int_0^1 |P_X(\theta)|^4 \, d\theta = \sum_{|h|<X} \left| \sum_{n \le X-|h|} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+|h|) \right|^2 . \tag{51.1}$$

The $h = 0$ term equals X^2 and the nonzero shifts contribute twice the unmaximized square correlations.

Proof of (51.1). Write

$$|P_X(\theta)|^2 = \sum_{m,n \le X} \lambda(m)\lambda(n) e((m-n)\theta) = \sum_{|h|<X} A_X(h) e(h\theta),$$

where $A_X(h) = \sum_{n \le X-|h|} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+|h|)$. Parseval applied to $|P_X|^2$ proves the assertion. □

Identity (51.1) is exact but falls short of the maximal collar requirement: it fixes the endpoint $X - h$. Nevertheless it yields a necessary lower-dimensional check on any proposed argument. A theorem implying the maximal collar estimate also implies a dyadic control of the corresponding pieces of $\int |P_X|^4$.

Proposition 7.2 (Unmaximized collar consequence)**.** *Under* MDCE_η*,*

$$\sum_{H<h\le 2H} \left| \sum_{n \le X-h} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h) \right|^2 \ll_{\varepsilon,\eta} X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1}$$

for $H \le X^{1-\eta}$*.*

Proof. The fixed endpoint is one of the endpoints included in the defining supremum of $C_h^*(X)$. □

The converse is not formal: a fourth-moment theorem with final endpoint does not control a varying maximal wall. The endpoint theorem established earlier is required precisely when the spectral analysis controls the partial sums in its transformed variable.

Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty((1,2))$ and define the smoothed shift energy

$$\mathcal{E}_\psi(X,H) = \sum_{h\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi(h/H) \left| \sum_n \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h) w(n/X) \right|^2 .$$

Expanding the square and applying Fourier inversion in h gives

$$\mathcal{E}_\psi(X,H) = \sum_{m,n} \lambda(m)\lambda(n) w(m/X) w(n/X) \sum_h \psi(h/H) \lambda(m+h)\lambda(n+h). \tag{52.1}$$

The last factor is a four-point Liouville correlation over a shift window. Alternatively, introducing a Fourier transform for the cutoff ψ expresses it through an integral of products of two shifted exponential sums. Either form retains more structure than a pointwise majorant and demonstrates why a positive kernel alone is insufficient: the arithmetic signs appear at four positions.

Lemma 7.3 (Trivial energy bound)**.** *Uniformly in* $H \le X$*,*

$$\mathcal{E}_\psi(X,H) \ll HX^2.$$

Proof. The inner correlation has modulus $O(X)$ and the shift weight has $O(H)$ supported values. □

The desired collar estimate improves this trivial scale by essentially H^2. That saving is so strong that it cannot survive replacement of the signs by positive coefficients, as the obstruction theorem already proves.

For $Y \le X$ the hard cutoff can be represented using its finite Fourier transform. Let

$$D_Y(\theta) = \sum_{n \le Y} e(n\theta), \qquad F_Y(\theta) = \frac{1}{Y}|D_Y(\theta)|^2.$$

The Fejér kernel is non-negative and satisfies $\int_0^1 F_Y(\theta)\,d\theta = 1$. Convolution with F_Y smooths Fourier polynomials without introducing negative weights. If $Q_h(\theta) = \sum_{n \le X-h} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)e(n\theta)$, then $C_h(Y)$ is a partial sum of the coefficients of Q_h. Bounds for Q_h in L^2 alone do not control its maximal partial sums; the analogue of Carleson's theorem would have to be used in a setting uniform over the shift family and compatible with the arithmetic spectral transform.

This observation identifies a second possible mechanism for the endpoint: one may either carry the endpoint through the Kuznetsov transform and apply Theorem 3.1, or attempt a Fourier maximal theorem before the arithmetic transform. The former is proved in the paper and does not require a new harmonic-analytic maximal theorem.

For $1 \le m, n \le X$ define the Toeplitz matrix

$$K_{m,n} = \mathbf{1}_{H < |m-n| \le 2H}.$$

Then the unmaximized signed shift sum equals a quadratic form

$$\sum_{H < h \le 2H} \sum_{n \le X-h} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \le X} K_{m,n}\lambda(m)\lambda(n). \tag{54.1}$$

The matrix K is not positive semidefinite in general; its Fourier symbol is

$$\widehat{K}(\theta) = 2 \sum_{H < h \le 2H} \cos(2\pi h\theta),$$

which changes sign. Thus there is no direct positivity argument making the unmaximized collar small. The maximal square energy is non-negative, but its upper bound requires cancellation in the arithmetic data.

Lemma 7.4 (Sign change of the band symbol)**.** *For every sufficiently large H, the trigonometric polynomial $\widehat{K}(\theta)$ assumes both positive and negative values.*

Proof. At $\theta = 0$ it equals $2H + O(1) > 0$. Its integral over $[0,1]$ is zero because it has no constant Fourier coefficient, so it cannot be non-negative everywhere without vanishing identically. □

A recurring temptation is to begin from

$$|C_h(Y)| \le Y$$

and apply Cauchy–Schwarz in some auxiliary factorization. Without a cancellation theorem, this can at best redistribute the trivial size. To see this, let $S_h = \sum_{n \le X-h} 1 = X - h$. Then

$$\sum_{h \sim H} |S_h|^2 \asymp HX^2$$

whenever $H = o(X)$. All coefficient-blind applications of Cauchy–Schwarz must also bound this positive test family and therefore cannot prove the scale $X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1}$. An admissible proof must use a property that is false for the constant sequence and true for the Liouville/Möbius structure at the required strength.

7.2. Short and long square factors

The square-divisor representation (13.1) permits a rigorous separation of large square factors. Fix $R_0 \ge 1$. For the part with $r > R_0$ one has $m \le X/r^2$, and therefore

$$\sum_{r > R_0} \sum_{m \le X/r^2} 1 \ll X \sum_{r > R_0} \frac{1}{r^2} \ll \frac{X}{R_0}. \tag{56.1}$$

The same bound holds for $s > R_0$. Consequently, terms in which at least one square factor exceeds R_0 form a sparse tail of total term-count $O(X^2/R_0)$ before the shift equation is imposed.

Proposition 7.5 (Large-square tail bound)**.** *The contribution to a single absolute correlation $|C_h(Y)|$ from $r > R_0$ or $s > R_0$ is $O(X/R_0)$ after summing over the variable attached to that large square factor. Choosing $R_0 = X^\theta$ saves a power on this tail, but leaves the finite set $r, s \le R_0$, including $r = s = 1$.*

Proof. Use (56.1) and its symmetric analogue; the shift relation can only reduce the count. ☐

Thus the square-factor tail is not the obstruction. The difficult portion is the small-square core in which the Möbius correlations retain full length.

At $r = s = 1$, formula (13.1) contains

$$M_h(Y) = \sum_{m \le Y} \mu(m)\mu(m+h).$$

If the required maximal estimate held for this core, then in particular for each fixed h and $H \asymp h$ one would obtain substantial ordinary cancellation in $M_h(Y)$ after dropping the other non-negative terms only where justified. The currently cited averaged logarithmic correlation results concern a different normalization and do not provide the maximal mean-square estimate demanded here.

Criterion 7.6 (Core criterion)**.** *A completion of the signed-collar route must contain a theorem controlling the family $(M_h(Y_h))_{h \sim H}$ uniformly in moving endpoints at the exponent $X^{1+\varepsilon} H^{-1/2}$ in dual norm, or a theorem showing exact cancellation between this core and the remaining square-factor strata before any absolute value is taken.*

This criterion is sharper than saying that a spectral estimate is missing. It identifies an unavoidable subsum or an equally strong compensating mechanism.

An identity of divisor type can sometimes create cancellation algebraically before any trace formula is applied. For the Möbius function one has

$$\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d) = \mathbf{1}_{n=1},$$

and for $n > 1$ this is exact cancellation among divisors. However, the shifted product $\mu(m)\mu(m+h)$ does not collapse under a single divisor sum: the divisibility conditions of m and $m+h$ interact through congruences. Expanding each Möbius function by a combinatorial identity leads to congruence systems rather than a zero identity. These systems are exactly the point at which additive detection and spectral dispersion become plausible.

A proposed new algebraic machine would need to produce a cancellation identity uniform in h and in the endpoint field. Without such an identity, it must prove the necessary cancellation analytically after the additive transform.

7.3. A bilinear operator for the signed core

For a finite interval $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ and shift set $\mathcal{H} = (H, 2H] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, define an operator

$$(\mathcal{T}_{I,\mathcal{H}} f)(h, Y) = \sum_{m \in I \cap [1,Y]} f(m) f(m+h).$$

Applied to $f = \mu$, this gives the persistent core. Its maximal norm is

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{I,\mathcal{H}} \mu\|_{\max,2}^2 = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sup_Y \left| \sum_{m \in I \cap [1,Y]} \mu(m)\mu(m+h) \right|^2.$$

For arbitrary $|f| \le 1$, the norm is $\asymp HX^2$ by taking $f \equiv 1$. Hence any theorem bounding this operator on μ at the collar scale is an arithmetic restriction theorem, not a general operator norm estimate.

Proposition 7.7 (No uniform bounded-vector operator norm)**.** *For $I = [1, X]$ and $H = o(X)$,*

$$\sup_{|f(n)| \le 1} \|\mathcal{T}_{I,\mathcal{H}} f\|_{\max,2}^2 \gg HX^2.$$

Proof. Take $f \equiv 1$ and $Y = X - h$. ☐

It is useful to name the exact missing size. Define

$$\mathscr{D}_\lambda(X,H) = \frac{H}{X^2} \sum_{H<h\le 2H} \big(C_h^*(X)\big)^2. \tag{60.1}$$

Then (5.1) is the bound $\mathscr{D}_\lambda(X,H) \ll X^\varepsilon$. The positive test sequence has defect $\asymp H^2$, whereas a successful Liouville proof must show only subpower growth. The normalization makes the collar theorem dimensionless and records precisely the saving required from the signs.

Lemma 7.8 (Defect-to-summatory implication)**.** *If $\mathscr{D}_\lambda(X,H) \ll X^\varepsilon$ for every dyadic $H \le X^{1-\eta}$ and the terminal range satisfies (5.2), then $L(X) \ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon}$.*

Proof. This is Theorem 2.3 after multiplying by X^2/H. □

The functional does not by itself prove a bound; it is the correct normalized quantity for any newly constructed monotonicity, dispersion or renormalization argument.

A potential new machinery would establish a recursive inequality

$$\mathscr{D}_\lambda(X,H) \le \theta \max_j \mathscr{D}_\lambda(X_j,H_j) + O(X^\varepsilon), \qquad \theta < 1, \tag{61.1}$$

where all $X_j < X$ and the collars H_j remain within the admissible range. Iterating (61.1) would reduce the problem to bounded scales. The square-divisor decomposition suggests smaller variables when large square factors occur, but Theorem 6.5 shows that the $r = s = 1$ core remains at the original scale; it prevents deriving (61.1) solely from that decomposition. Any genuine recursive theorem must force contraction on the core through additional signed information.

Obstruction 7.9 (Core obstruction to square-factor recursion)**.** *A recursive defect argument based only on splitting r, s into large and small square factors cannot produce a strict contraction coefficient for the whole defect unless it also proves a contraction statement for the $r = s = 1$ Möbius correlation component.*

Proof. The core is a term in the exact expansion with no scale reduction. Assigning it a coefficient less than one without a further identity or estimate would not follow from the decomposition. □

Another possible machine would estimate the dual sum after additive detection by applying Cauchy–Schwarz only after the Möbius transforms are formed. Schematically, one seeks

$$\sum_{q\asymp Q} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{a \bmod q} \left| \sum_m \mu(m) U(m/M) e(-ar^2m/q) \right|^2 \ll (Q^2+M)M^{1+\varepsilon} \tag{62.1}$$

with a compatible estimate in the ℓ variable, and then a treatment of the h-dual phase which gives the reciprocal collar gain. Standard large-sieve estimates produce bounds of this general type, but the exponents remaining after combining all variables must be calculated at the target scale. The existence of (62.1) alone does not supply $H^{-1/2}$.

The revised paper isolates this point so that a future construction can be tested numerically and symbolically before a universal theorem is claimed: all modulus, square-factor, endpoint and shift-dual losses must be displayed in the resulting exponent.

Let a proposed signed transform produce contributions bounded by

$$X^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1/2} \cdot X^\alpha H^\beta Q^\gamma.$$

The collar estimate is recovered only if the chosen conductor and all reciprocal transforms prove

$$X^\alpha H^\beta Q^\gamma \ll X^\varepsilon$$

uniformly over the full collar range. In particular, setting $H = X^u$ for $0 \le u \le 1-\eta$ reduces any monomial loss to a linear condition in u after writing $Q = X^{v(u)}$. Every coefficient of u and every constant term must be non-positive up to ε.

This elementary ledger replaces visual conductor diagrams. It is more rigorous because a proposed estimate can be inserted directly and tested at the endpoint values of u. Any positive exponent at one endpoint invalidates the claimed all-collar theorem.

The smoothing error from Theorem 6.8 contributes in dual norm at most $H^{1/2}\Delta$. Relative to the target $X^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1/2}$ this is

$$\frac{H\Delta}{X^{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

Thus an endpoint wall with transition length $\Delta = X^{\delta}H^{-\kappa}$ is admissible only when

$$H^{1-\kappa}X^{\delta-1} \ll X^{\varepsilon}$$

uniformly in the collar range. Writing $H = X^u$, this becomes

$$(1-\kappa)u + \delta - 1 \le 0 \quad (0 \le u \le 1-\eta).$$

This explicit condition is one of the inequalities any detector construction must satisfy.

7.4. A no-loss theorem conditional on a signed spectral estimate

Theorem 7.10 (No-loss reconstruction theorem). *Assume that for some $\eta > 0$ the signed dyadic bilinear forms in (36.1) satisfy (36.2), with endpoint smoothing parameters obeying the boundary condition above, and assume (5.2) for the terminal range. Then all non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ lie on $\operatorname{Re} s = 1/2$.*

Proof. Sign-preserving recombination yields (29.1). Endpoint duality yields the maximal collar bound (5.1). Dyadic Cauchy–Schwarz and the terminal estimate yield $L(X) \ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon}$. The Abel-summation argument in Theorem 1.1 gives zero exclusion to the right of the line, and the functional equation gives the reflected exclusion. □

Every implication in this theorem has been proved in the body. Its hypothesis is deliberately signed and does not contain the invalid arbitrary-coefficient expansion.

The theorem above is an exact implication, but the signed estimate (36.2) is itself the difficult number-theoretic assertion. The maximal spectral inequality proved in Theorem 3.1 removes the endpoint supremum after a legitimate transformed coefficient problem has been reached. It does not establish cancellation in the persistent Möbius core or in the full signed square-divisor surface. In particular, no application of the fixed-endpoint spectral large sieve alone supplies the estimate for the original Liouville products without an additional transformed identity and exponent calculation.

This distinction is compulsory after Theorem 4.1: a generic coefficient estimate at the claimed strength is false, so the missing theorem cannot be hidden under an enlarged coefficient hypothesis.

The following algebraic identities can be checked without spectral input. First,

$$\lambda(12) = \lambda(2^2 \cdot 3) = -1, \qquad \sum_{r^2|12} \mu(12/r^2) = \mu(12) + \mu(3) = 0 - 1 = -1.$$

Second, for $X = 4$,

$$(\lambda(1), \lambda(2), \lambda(3), \lambda(4)) = (1, -1, -1, 1), \qquad L(4) = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &4 + 2\left[\{\lambda(1)\lambda(2) + \lambda(2)\lambda(3) + \lambda(3)\lambda(4)\} + \{\lambda(1)\lambda(3) + \lambda(2)\lambda(4)\} + \lambda(1)\lambda(4)\right] \\ &= 4 + 2[(-1 + 1 - 1) + (-1 - 1) + 1] = 0 = |L(4)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Third, the positive coefficient obstruction may be checked exactly for every even X and integer $H < X/4$: the inner count is $X/2 - h + 1$ up to endpoint conventions, and its square sum has leading term $HX^2/4$. These finite calculations are included to make the algebra replayable independently of any conjectural estimate.

7.5. The revised dependency theorem

Theorem 7.11 (Dependency theorem). *Within the Liouville-collar framework, the following are established unconditionally:*

(1) *the Dirichlet-series identity in $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ and the Abel continuation implication;*
(2) *the exact square identity and the dyadic collar implication;*
(3) *the endpoint duality and smooth-wall error bound;*
(4) *the maximal endpoint upgrade of the fixed spectral large sieve;*
(5) *the exact signed square-divisor decomposition of Liouville correlations;*
(6) *the failure of the arbitrary divisor-bounded shifted-surface theorem;*

(7) *the no-loss implication from the signed structured collar theorem to the critical-line statement.*
The remaining analytic theorem required by this route is the signed structured collar estimate, including its persistent Möbius core and terminal shift range.

Proof. Each item is proved in the preceding sections. The final sentence follows because the positive coefficient obstruction eliminates the claimed generic substitute, while the exact decomposition contains the core as a subsum. □

The strengthened mathematical interior replaces diagrams and ledgers by explicit equalities, estimates and obstruction theorems. It proves the endpoint-maximal spectral lemma in the form needed by a genuine collar argument. It simultaneously proves that a former intermediate theorem, when stated for arbitrary divisor-bounded coefficients, cannot be valid at the square-collar scale. The correct replacement is the sign-retaining structured theorem written in (36.2) and (44.1).

The full analytic implication is consequently transparent. A signed spectral theorem at the specified scale implies maximal dyadic collar control; maximal dyadic collar control implies square-root cancellation for $L(X)$; square-root cancellation implies holomorphic continuation of $\zeta(2s)/\zeta(s)$ into $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$; and this continuation excludes non-trivial zeta zeros away from the critical line. The sole unresolved mathematical operation in this chain is the proof of the signed spectral theorem itself, not the endpoint maximum or the complex-analytic bridge.

8. Spectral implementation of the endpoint wall

For completeness, the endpoint-maximal upgrade is now recorded in the combinatorial form in which it is used. Let $J = [1, 2^K] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. For $0 \le j \le K$ and $0 \le \nu < 2^{K-j}$ put

$$I_{j,\nu} = \{\nu 2^j + 1, \dots, (\nu+1)2^j\}.$$

For every $M \in J$ the binary expansion of M selects disjoint intervals $I_{j,\nu(j,M)}$ such that

$$\{1, \dots, M\} = \bigsqcup_{j \in \mathcal{J}(M)} I_{j,\nu(j,M)}, \qquad |\mathcal{J}(M)| \le K + 1. \tag{70.1}$$

Thus, for a coefficient sequence $a_n(\pi)$ depending on a spectral parameter,

$$\left| \sum_{n \le M} a_n(\pi) \right|^2 \le (K+1) \sum_{j=0}^{K} \sum_{\nu} \left| \sum_{n \in I_{j,\nu}} a_n(\pi) \right|^2. \tag{70.2}$$

The right side no longer depends on M. If every interval sum satisfies the same fixed-endpoint spectral large sieve, integration gives the maximum over M. At scale j, the intervals partition J; hence the coefficient norm is counted exactly once at each j and exactly $K+1$ times in total. The outer Cauchy–Schwarz in (70.2) supplies the second $K+1$ factor. Since $(1 + \log N)^2 \ll_\varepsilon N^\varepsilon$, this procedure incurs no positive power of the coefficient length.

Remark 8.1. *No arithmetic information about a_n is used in this endpoint step. That is its strength and its limitation: it transports a valid spectral inequality through a maximum, but it cannot make an invalid pre-spectral coefficient estimate valid.*

8.1. Maximality simultaneously in several dyadic parameters

Suppose a spectral coefficient sequence is indexed by a finite family $\omega \in \Omega$ of smooth partitions, Mellin frequencies, and square-factor blocks, with $|\Omega| \ll (\log X)^B$. Let

$$\mathcal{C}_Y(\pi, \omega) = \sum_{n \le Y} a_{n,\omega} \rho_\pi(n).$$

If the fixed-endpoint large sieve is uniform in ω, then

$$\int_{\Pi(T)} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \sup_Y |\mathcal{C}_Y(\pi, \omega)|^2 d\mu_T(\pi) \ll (T^2 + N) X^\varepsilon \sum_{\omega, n} |a_{n,\omega}|^2. \tag{71.1}$$

Indeed, apply Theorem 3.1 for each ω and sum. If instead one requires the supremum of the sum over ω, Cauchy–Schwarz introduces $|\Omega|$, still absorbed into X^ε. This justifies retaining finitely many smooth or dyadic parameters through the endpoint operation, provided their coefficient norms already satisfy the signed spectral estimate.

Choose $V \in C_c^\infty([1/2,2])$ such that

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} V(2^{-j}u) = 1 \qquad (u>0).$$

For $n \le X$ only $O(\log X)$ scales contribute. With $N = 2^j$ define

$$C_{h,N}(Y) = \sum_n \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)V(n/N)W_{Y,\Delta}(n).$$

Then

$$\sum_n \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)W_{Y,\Delta}(n) = \sum_{N\ll X} C_{h,N}(Y), \tag{72.1}$$

and consequently

$$\left(\sum_{h\sim H}\left|\sum_N C_{h,N}(Y_h)\right|^2\right)^{1/2} \le \sum_N \left(\sum_{h\sim H}|C_{h,N}(Y_h)|^2\right)^{1/2}. \tag{72.2}$$

If each dyadic N obeys the collar bound with a loss X^ε, the sum over N is harmless. The equation also makes clear that one cannot combine unsigned dyadic pieces before proving cancellation: the triangle inequality is taken only over a logarithmic number of already signed, already controlled pieces.

In the square-divisor variables the endpoint condition is $r^2m \le Y_h$. Fixing $r \asymp R$ converts it into a wall for m near Y_h/r^2, whose smoothing length is Δ/r^2 if the original wall has length Δ. The derivative of a weight in m therefore gains a factor r^2/Δ. Any uniform transform estimate must sum this derivative cost over r.

Lemma 8.2 (Derivative cost on square strata)**.** *Let $W_{Y,\Delta}(r^2m) = W((r^2m-Y)/\Delta)$. For every integer $j\ge 0$,*

$$\left|\frac{d^j}{dm^j}W_{Y,\Delta}(r^2m)\right| \ll_j \left(\frac{r^2}{\Delta}\right)^j.$$

Proof. Apply the chain rule j times. ☐

Large r shorten the m-sum but sharpen its wall. This is another place where exponent accounting must accompany any Bessel or Voronoi transformation: shorter length alone does not guarantee a cheaper endpoint derivative.

8.2. Exact congruence resolution of the signed surface

Let $q\ge 1$. On any localized range where $|s^2\ell - r^2m - h| < q$, equality may be detected by congruence modulo q:

$$\mathbf{1}_{s^2\ell-r^2m=h} = \frac{1}{q}\sum_{a \bmod q} e\left(\frac{a(s^2\ell-r^2m-h)}{q}\right). \tag{74.1}$$

When no single such modulus is economical, a smooth delta-symbol partitions the equality into moduli. The identity (74.1) is nevertheless a useful local model because it makes the coefficient structure visible:

$$\sum_m \mu(m)U(m/M)e(-ar^2m/q), \qquad \sum_\ell \mu(\ell)V(\ell/L)e(as^2\ell/q). \tag{74.2}$$

The transforms (74.2) are signed exponential sums. If they were replaced by their lengths, the target scale would fail. If they are kept, the problem becomes a mean-square theorem for Möbius-weighted additive twists, coupled through r,s,q and the outer shift transform.

The Ramanujan sum

$$c_q(h) = \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q\\(a,q)=1}} e(ah/q)$$

satisfies the exact formula

$$c_q(h) = \sum_{d|(q,h)} d\,\mu(q/d), \qquad |c_q(h)| \le (q,h). \tag{75.1}$$

This controls an arithmetic weight produced after summing coprime additive characters. In contrast, no identity of comparable elementary force evaluates

$$\sum_{m \asymp M} \mu(m)e(am/q)$$

uniformly at square-root strength for every relevant modulus and every coupled endpoint. The distinction between the elementary conductor weights and the signed coefficient transforms must remain visible in any proof.

Proof of (75.1). Use $\mathbf{1}_{(a,q)=1} = \sum_{d|(a,q)} \mu(d)$, interchange the sums, and evaluate the complete geometric sum modulo q/d. □

Kuznetsov summation applies to Kloosterman sums in two integer arguments. Before the formula can be used, the additive detector and any Poisson or Voronoi step must produce such a Kloosterman family with coefficient transforms whose norms are controlled. Writing the name of the trace formula is not an estimate. The calculation has to exhibit:

$$\text{arithmetic coefficients} \longrightarrow \text{Kloosterman family} \longrightarrow \text{spectral coefficients} \longrightarrow \text{large-sieve norm}. \tag{76.1}$$

For the collar problem, every arrow in (76.1) must remain uniform in Y_h and β_h, and the final norm must retain the Möbius structure sufficiently to beat the positive obstruction. This is why the proved endpoint theorem, although useful, does not by itself prove the signed collar bound.

A Kuznetsov transform typically contains integrals of the shape

$$\mathcal{J}(t) = \int_0^\infty \Phi(x) J_{2it}(x) \frac{dx}{x}$$

or analogous kernels. Smoothness of Φ gives rapid decay in nontransition regions through integration by parts or standard Bessel asymptotics. In the transition range the phase derivative can be small; there the transform has its main mass. A collar estimate must count this mass against the number of shifts and detector moduli.

Lemma 8.3 (Abstract transition split)**.** *Let $\mathcal{J}(t)$ be a transform with $\mathcal{J}(t) \ll_A X^{-A}$ outside a set $\mathcal{T}$ and $|\mathcal{J}(t)| \le B$ on $\mathcal{T}$. Then a spectral large sieve bounds the nontransition contribution negligibly, while the transition contribution is bounded by B times the spectral coefficient norm restricted to $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. Split the spectral integral and apply the large sieve on each part; rapid decay absorbs all polynomial spectral multiplicities outside $\mathcal{T}$. □

The lemma isolates the missing calculation: a proof must compute B and the size of $\mathcal{T}$ in terms of (X, H, Q, R, S, M, L), then return precisely the collar exponent.

A phrase such as "reciprocal Bessel localization gives the missing H^{-1}" is not itself a theorem. A valid form must state a transform bound, for example

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}} |\mathcal{J}(t)|^2 \, d\nu(t) \ll X^\varepsilon H^{-1} \mathcal{N}(X, Q, R, S, M, L), \tag{78.1}$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is the coefficient norm entering the large sieve, and prove (78.1) uniformly in all parameters. Only after (78.1) is inserted into the componentwise spectral estimate may one conclude that a transition term has been repaid by a reciprocal collar gain.

This formulation does not deny that a reciprocal transform may exist. It states the exact object that must be computed. Since the false arbitrary-coefficient theorem previously bypassed this issue, its replacement must be signed and quantitative at this point.

8.3. The Maass contribution under a legitimate transformed input

Assume a transformed signed piece has reached the form

$$\mathcal{M} = \int_{\Pi_M(T)} A_\pi \sum_{n \le Y} b_n \rho_\pi(n) \, d\mu_T(\pi), \tag{79.1}$$

where the coefficient sequence b_n is obtained from the signed arithmetic transform and A_π is the complementary factor. Cauchy–Schwarz and Theorem 3.1 give

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 \leq \left(\int |A_\pi|^2 d\mu_T\right)(T^2+N)(TN)^\varepsilon \sum |b_n|^2. \tag{79.2}$$

Equation (79.2) is a valid componentwise reduction. To deduce the collar scale one must prove that the first factor and the signed coefficient norm in the second factor combine to $X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1}$. The spectral theorem controls the endpoint; it leaves this arithmetic norm calculation explicit.

The Eisenstein sector is represented by integrals of products of divisor-like coefficients and oscillatory powers n^{it}. A model bound for a Dirichlet polynomial $A(t) = \sum_{n\leq N} a_n n^{it}$ is

$$\int_{-T}^{T} |A(t)|^2\, dt \ll (T+N) \sum_{n\leq N} |a_n|^2.$$

As with the Maass component, this is compatible with endpoint maximization by dyadic interval decomposition. The critical issue remains the size and structure of the signed transformed coefficient arrays. A continuous spectral mean-value theorem cannot be substituted for the unproved arithmetic cancellation in those arrays.

A Petersson trace formula treats holomorphic cusp forms of integral weight. With a smooth localization in weight, its large-sieve output is analogous to the Maass estimate. The endpoint maximum again costs at most a logarithm. This uniformity is useful because a correct trace-formula decomposition may contain both Maass and holomorphic families. It supplies no permission to enlarge signed Liouville coefficients to all divisor-bounded data.

The best available spectral-gap bounds limit any exceptional eigenparameter in a classical modular spectral family. If a transition estimate takes the form $X^a H^b Q^c (1+|t|)^d$, substituting an exceptional bound modifies the allowed exponent. A complete proof must show that the resulting monomial is still within $X^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1/2}$ on every collar. Because H ranges from 1 to $X^{1-\eta}$, a loss harmless at one end may fail at the other. This is why all parameter exponents are retained explicitly rather than described as an "absorbed exceptional term."

8.4. The terminal shift range after endpoint duality

Let $H_0 = X^{1-\eta}$. The tail required in (5.2) is

$$\mathcal{R}(X; H_0) = \sum_{H_0<h<X} C_h^*(X).$$

Writing $u = X - h$ gives

$$\mathcal{R}(X; H_0) = \sum_{1\leq u<X-H_0} \sup_{Y\leq u} \left|\sum_{n\leq Y} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+X-u)\right|. \tag{83.1}$$

The number of terms is $\asymp X$, though each inner interval shortens as u decreases. Trivial estimation of (83.1) gives $O(X^2)$; a complete proof must exploit cancellation in these short correlations or redesign the dyadic collar range so the tail is included in a proven theorem. The terminal tail is not removed merely by endpoint notation.

Instead of separating a tail, one may request (5.1) for every dyadic $H < X$, including $H \asymp X$. Then Cauchy–Schwarz gives $O(X^{1+\varepsilon})$ on each of $O(\log X)$ blocks, and no separate terminal statement is needed. This full-range formulation is stronger but cleaner:

$$\mathcal{E}_2(X,H) \ll_\varepsilon X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1} \quad (1 \leq H < X). \tag{84.1}$$

Either (84.1) or the two-part statement (5.1)–(5.2) is sufficient. In both versions the signs and moving endpoints must survive the proof.

Define

$$\mathscr{D}_\lambda^{\mathrm{full}}(X) = \max_{\substack{1\leq H<X\\ H \text{ dyadic}}} \frac{H}{X^2}\mathcal{E}_2(X,H). \tag{85.1}$$

The full-range theorem (84.1) is precisely

$$\mathscr{D}_\lambda^{\mathrm{full}}(X) \ll_\varepsilon X^\varepsilon.$$

The exact square identity then yields

$$|L(X)|^2 \ll X + X(\log X)\big(\mathscr{D}_\lambda^{\mathrm{full}}(X)\big)^{1/2},$$

which becomes $O(X^{1+\varepsilon})$. The normalization isolates a single scalar target. A new proof machinery could aim to control this defect directly, but it must do so on the actual Liouville sequence; the bounded-sequence supremum is $\gg H^2$ and therefore useless.

The identity $\lambda(pn) = -\lambda(n)$ holds for every prime p. It may appear to offer a scaling cancellation in $C_h(Y)$, but multiplication sends the shift h to ph:

$$\lambda(pn)\lambda(pn + ph) = \lambda(n)\lambda(n + h).$$

It therefore relates different collar locations rather than cancelling one correlation internally. When $pn + ph$ is not a multiple of p, no direct identity is available. A scaling-based argument would have to average over shifted collars and manage boundary terms; local complete multiplicativity alone does not prove (84.1).

For a modulus q one may decompose

$$C_h(Y) = \sum_{a \bmod q} \sum_{\substack{n\le Y \\ n\equiv a \bmod q}} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h).$$

A finite residue analysis can reveal systematic cancellation on selected classes, but a universal proof requires uniformity as X increases. A fixed modulus leaves long sums in each class, while allowing q to grow introduces a conductor problem of exactly the type measured by the spectral transformation. No finite residue ledger by itself implies the full-range defect bound.

For a finitely supported sequence a_n, define its autocorrelation $R_a(h) = \sum_n a_n\overline{a_{n+h}}$. The Fourier transform of R_a is $|\sum_n a_n e(n\theta)|^2 \ge 0$. Thus the complete autocorrelation is positive definite as a function of h. Positivity does not imply smallness of individual collar blocks: for $a_n = 1$ on an interval, $R_a(h)$ is large and positive for every short shift. For $a_n = \lambda(n)$, an upper bound for a collar block is precisely a statement that its autocorrelation spectrum is sufficiently dispersed. That dispersion is the arithmetic content the proof must supply.

The maximal quantity $C_h^*(X)$ is an autocorrelation of stopped sequences. For each Y, set $a_n^{(Y)} = \lambda(n)\mathbf{1}_{n\le Y}$ and $b_n^{(h,Y)} = \lambda(n+h)\mathbf{1}_{n\le Y}$. Then

$$C_h(Y) = \langle a^{(Y)}, b^{(h,Y)}\rangle_{\ell^2}.$$

The supremum over Y is a stopping-time operation and may align with rare high correlations. Average Fourier energy of the unstopped sequence does not automatically control this operation. The maximal spectral theorem handles stopping only after the arithmetic transform has entered a positive spectral L^2 setting.

Although cancellation is delicate, a simple deterministic inequality is available. If $Y < Y'$, then

$$|C_h(Y') - C_h(Y)| \le Y' - Y.$$

Consequently, if endpoints are discretized on a mesh of spacing Δ, then

$$C_h^*(X) \le \max_{Y\in\Delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[1,X-h]} |C_h(Y)| + \Delta.$$

This reduces a continuous endpoint supremum to $O(X/\Delta)$ discrete walls at a cost Δ. Treating each wall separately would incur an unacceptable factor; binary maximality avoids that count after a spectral L^2 theorem is available.

The notation X^ε absorbs powers of $\log X$ and fixed divisor-function moments, but it does not absorb X^c for a fixed $c > 0$. In a multi-parameter proof one must also avoid assigning a fresh X^ε to an unbounded number of steps. Here the number of dyadic partitions, Mellin truncations and spectral components is bounded by a fixed power of $\log X$, so a single change of ε is legitimate. A new recursive decomposition with depth depending polynomially on X would require a separate summability argument.

8.5. A rigorously admissible theorem statement for a future completion

The analytic gap can be stated without diagrams or certificate language:

Theorem 8.4 (Signed maximal Liouville collar theorem – target statement)**.** *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for every $X \geq 2$ and every dyadic $1 \leq H < X$,*

$$\sum_{H<h\leq 2H} \sup_{1\leq Y\leq X-h} \left| \sum_{n\leq Y} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h) \right|^2 \leq C_\varepsilon X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1}. \tag{90.1}$$

Theorem 8.4, if proved, implies the Riemann Hypothesis by the already proved chain. It is not an arbitrary-coefficient assertion and therefore is not contradicted by Theorem 4.1. It is the exact new theorem that a completed unconditional version of the fixed-title paper would have to establish.

A statement designated as a "source estimate" or "verification estimate" remains a hypothesis unless its proof is present or it is a cited theorem with matching quantifiers. The fixed-endpoint spectral large sieve is a cited theorem and the maximal endpoint upgrade is proved here. The signed collar theorem Theorem 8.4 is neither a quoted existing theorem with the required strength nor proved by the displayed reductions. It must therefore be proved separately before the concluding critical-line assertion can be stated as unconditional.

This observation is mathematical rather than editorial: the counterexample establishes that the earlier route cannot be completed merely by changing the description of an assumed coefficient-uniform estimate.

Any candidate construction of (90.1) should be tested at five exact gates.

(i) It must reproduce the square-divisor identity (13.1) without dropping a Möbius sign.
(ii) It must retain an independent endpoint Y_h or prove a theorem replacing it.
(iii) Its detector and conductor parameters must give an explicit monomial exponent bound uniform in H.
(iv) Its spectral transition estimate must be stated as an inequality for the actual signed coefficient transforms.
(v) Its recombination must return exactly the $X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1}$ square-energy scale.

A calculation failing any gate cannot be repaired at the Abel-summation stage, because that stage spends no arithmetic cancellation.

For clarity, suppose (90.1) holds. For every dyadic $H < X$, Cauchy–Schwarz gives

$$\sum_{H<h\leq 2H} C_h^*(X) \ll H^{1/2}(X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1})^{1/2} = X^{1+\varepsilon/2}.$$

Summing over at most $1 + \log_2 X$ collars gives

$$\sum_{1\leq h<X} C_h^*(X) \ll X^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

The exact square identity yields $|L(X)|^2 \ll X^{1+\varepsilon}$, whence $L(X) \ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon}$. The Dirichlet-series calculation in Theorem 1.1 then gives RH. This full derivation is short only because every genuinely difficult point has been concentrated into the one signed maximal theorem.

The interior has now separated three categories of statement. Exact statements include the square identity, square-divisor decomposition, endpoint duality, smoothing error, maximal spectral upgrade, obstruction theorem, and Abel continuation. Cited spectral statements include the fixed-endpoint Kuznetsov large sieve used as the input to the endpoint theorem. The missing statement is the signed maximal Liouville collar theorem. The fixed title and abstract describe a closure programme; the body now records without ambiguity the single unproved estimate whose construction would turn that programme into a proof.

9. Square-factor arithmetic of the Liouville correlation

Let $\mathbf{1}(n) = 1$ and let $\mathbf{1}_\square(n)$ be the characteristic function of perfect squares. The elementary Euler products yield

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)}, \qquad \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\mathbf{1}_\square(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(2s).$$

Multiplying the first series by $\zeta(s)$ gives the exact convolution identity

$$(\lambda * \mathbf{1})(n) = \mathbf{1}_\square(n). \tag{95.1}$$

Möbius inversion gives

$$\lambda = \mu * \mathbf{1}_\square, \qquad \lambda(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)\mathbf{1}_\square(n/d) = \sum_{r^2|n} \mu(n/r^2), \tag{95.2}$$

recovering Theorem 4.2. These identities do not invoke the Riemann Hypothesis and hold coefficientwise.

Lemma 9.1 (Divisor-sum parity identity)**.** *For every $n \geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{d|n} \lambda(d) = \begin{cases} 1, & n \text{ is a square}, \\ 0, & n \text{ is not a square}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is enough to calculate on prime powers:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{e} (-1)^j = \begin{cases} 1, & e \text{ even}, \\ 0, & e \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

The result follows by multiplicativity. □

The importance of (95.2) is not only that it is exact. It selects a signed coefficient μ whose cancellation is essential. Inserting the upper bound $|\mu| \leq 1$ discards the identity's arithmetic content and returns to the positive obstruction.

9.1. An exact double-convolution form of the correlation

Substituting $\lambda = \mu * \mathbf{1}_\square$ twice gives

$$\begin{aligned} C_h(Y) &= \sum_{n \leq Y} \sum_{r^2|n} \mu(n/r^2) \sum_{s^2|n+h} \mu((n+h)/s^2) \\ &= \sum_{r,s \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{m,\ell \geq 1 \\ r^2 m \leq Y \\ s^2\ell - r^2 m = h}} \mu(m)\mu(\ell). \end{aligned} \tag{96.1}$$

Absolute summation is legitimate because all variables are bounded by $r^2 m \leq Y$ and $s^2\ell \leq Y + h$. A useful divisibility constraint is obtained by writing $g = (r, s)$, $r = gr_1$, $s = gs_1$ with $(r_1, s_1) = 1$. The equation implies

$$g^2 \mid h. \tag{96.2}$$

Thus the common square divisor of r and s is selected by a square divisor of the shift.

Proposition 9.2 (Common-square stratification)**.** *For every h,*

$$C_h(Y) = \sum_{g^2|h} \sum_{(r_1,s_1)=1} \sum_{\substack{m,\ell \geq 1 \\ g^2 r_1^2 m \leq Y \\ s_1^2\ell - r_1^2 m = h/g^2}} \mu(m)\mu(\ell).$$

The number of outer g-strata is $O_\varepsilon(h^\varepsilon)$.

Proof. The change of variables above is bijective, and (96.2) is forced by the equation. The divisor bound controls the number of square divisors. □

The proposition reduces the shared square divisor, but it does not eliminate the coprime stratum $r_1 = s_1 = 1$. The persistent core is therefore structurally built into every shift.

Fix a stratum $g^2 \mid h$ and coprime r_1, s_1. The equation

$$s_1^2\ell - r_1^2 m = h/g^2 \tag{97.1}$$

implies a congruence condition on m modulo s_1^2:

$$r_1^2 m \equiv -h/g^2 \pmod{s_1^2}.$$

Since $(r_1, s_1) = 1$, r_1^2 is invertible modulo s_1^2, and hence m lies in one residue class modulo s_1^2. Solving for ℓ yields

$$\ell = \frac{r_1^2 m + h/g^2}{s_1^2}. \tag{97.2}$$

Consequently each stratum is a Möbius product along an affine progression:

$$\sum_{\substack{m \le Y/(g^2 r_1^2) \\ m \equiv a \pmod{s_1^2}}} \mu(m)\mu\left(\frac{r_1^2 m + h/g^2}{s_1^2}\right). \tag{97.3}$$

This exact parametrization may be more convenient than a four-variable surface for certain arithmetic estimates. It again exhibits the barrier: one needs uniform cancellation of a product of Möbius values sampled on affine progressions, with moduli and endpoints varying through the collar.

9.2. The coprime-square geometry of the shift

The variables r_1, s_1 in (97.1) are coprime. If both are large, then the interval for m is short; if either is small, the progression has small modulus but long length. This dichotomy is exact. Fix $U \ge 1$. Split the strata into

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{long}} = \{(r_1, s_1) : \min(r_1, s_1) \le U\}, \qquad \mathcal{S}_{\text{short}} = \{(r_1, s_1) : r_1, s_1 > U\}.$$

On $\mathcal{S}_{\text{short}}$, both m and ℓ ranges have lengths $O(X/U^2)$ after accounting for the square factors. On $\mathcal{S}_{\text{long}}$, only $O(U)$ values of the small square variable occur, but these include the long Möbius correlations. Choosing U alone cannot remove the need for signed cancellation.

Lemma 9.3 (Term count in the double-large stratum)**.** *The total number of pairs (r, m) with $r > U$ and $r^2 m \le X$ is $O(X/U)$. Hence a termwise absolute bound on strata with both $r, s > U$ is $O(X^2/U^2)$ before imposing the shift equation and is smaller after imposing it.*

Proof. Sum $\lfloor X/r^2 \rfloor$ over $r > U$. □

A prospective proof might combine trivial estimates for double-large square strata with a spectral treatment of finitely many long strata. The central $r = s = 1$ term remains among the latter and determines the required arithmetic strength.

The positive coefficient counterexample is not an artifact of sharp interval weights. Let $U \in C_c^\infty((1/2, 1))$ be non-negative and equal to one on $[3/5, 4/5]$. Consider

$$S_U(h) = \sum_{b,d \ge 1} U(b/X)U(d/X)\mathbf{1}_{b-d=h}.$$

For $1 \le h \le X/20$, every $d \in [3X/5, 3X/4]$ has $d + h \in [3X/5, 4X/5]$, and hence

$$S_U(h) \ge X/10 + O(1).$$

Choosing $H = X^{1/4}$ gives

$$\sum_{H < h \le 2H} |S_U(h)|^2 \gg HX^2.$$

Thus no smoothing of the positive coefficient arrays repairs the false generic theorem. The obstruction occurs on a stable interior region of the supports, away from endpoint discontinuities.

Corollary 9.4 (Smooth positive obstruction)**.** *The false estimate (12.1) remains false when all dyadic coefficient cutoffs and endpoint walls are replaced by fixed non-negative smooth weights.*

Even imposing oscillatory phases that do not encode the Möbius structure is insufficient. For a rational phase $\xi = a/q$ with q fixed, choose b, d in a single residue class modulo q so that $e(\xi b)e(-\xi d) = e(\xi h)$ is constant on $b - d = h$. Positive weights on this residue class give

$$|S_{U,\xi}(h)| \gg X/q$$

for h in a suitable residue class and short interval. Summing over $\asymp H/q$ such shifts again gives energy $\gg HX^2/q^3$. For fixed q this contradicts any uniform reciprocal-collar estimate. Therefore generic additive phases alone do not supply the missing cancellation; the coefficient transforms must use the arithmetic signs at growing conductor scales in a proven way.

9.3. An exact signed progression representation

From (97.3), fix (g, r_1, s_1) and let $a_{g,r_1,s_1,h}$ be the unique residue class modulo s_1^2 solving the congruence. Define

$$\mathcal{P}_{g,r_1,s_1,h}(Y) = \sum_{\substack{m \le Y/(g^2 r_1^2) \\ m \equiv a_{g,r_1,s_1,h}\,(s_1^2)}} \mu(m)\mu\left(\frac{r_1^2 m + h/g^2}{s_1^2}\right).$$

Then

$$C_h(Y) = \sum_{g^2 | h} \sum_{(r_1,s_1)=1} \mathcal{P}_{g,r_1,s_1,h}(Y), \tag{101.1}$$

where only terms with nonempty ranges occur. Formula (101.1) converts the square-surface description into a family of affine Möbius products. It offers a second exact route for a future proof: instead of estimating the full surface by a delta method, one may seek an endpoint-uniform average theorem for the affine progression products and then sum the square-factor strata with controlled losses.

Suppose hypothetically that each progression satisfies a bound

$$|\mathcal{P}_{g,r_1,s_1,h}(Y)| \ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon}(g r_1 s_1)^{-1-\delta} \tag{102.1}$$

uniformly in its endpoint and shift, for some $\delta > 0$. The sum over r_1, s_1 would converge, and the g-sum would cost at most h^ε; a dyadic square-energy estimate might then be accessible. Without decay in the square-factor variables, simply summing individual square-root estimates over all strata loses powers. Thus a progression-based proof must establish both cancellation in the affine Möbius product and summable dependence on its square-factor moduli.

This statement is not offered as a known theorem. It identifies the quantitative form that an alternative to the spectral surface route would have to attain.

For $s \ge 1$ and a residue $a \pmod{s^2}$,

$$\mathbf{1}_{m \equiv a\,(s^2)} = \frac{1}{s^2} \sum_{u \bmod s^2} e(u(m-a)/s^2).$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{P}_{g,r,s,h}(Y) = \frac{1}{s^2} \sum_{u \bmod s^2} e(-ua/s^2) \sum_{m \le Y/(g^2 r^2)} \mu(m)\mu\left(\frac{r^2 m + h/g^2}{s^2}\right) e(um/s^2). \tag{103.1}$$

The new additive twist does not separate the two Möbius factors, but it exposes the conductor s^2. If a spectral or automorphic method is to act on (103.1), its estimates must remain uniform over this modulus and the affine numerator. Again the required theorem is signed; bounding the Möbius factors by one gives a positive progression count too large for the collar scale.

If independent random signs replaced $\lambda(n)$, one would expect $|C_h(Y)|$ to have typical size $Y^{1/2}$ and

$$\sum_{h \sim H} |C_h(Y_h)|^2 \asymp HX.$$

The target $X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1}$ is weaker than this heuristic when $H \ll X^{1/2}$ and stronger when $H \gg X^{1/2}$. Thus the longest collars demand cancellation not captured merely by independent-shift variance intuition. This calculation is not a proof tool; it warns that any uniform theorem over the full H range must exploit additional structure or use a different partition for large shifts.

9.4. A two-regime collar target

Motivated by the preceding scale comparison, one may formulate a two-regime sufficient theorem. Let $H_* = X^{1/2}$. Suppose

$$\mathcal{E}_2(X, H) \ll X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1} \quad (H \le H_*), \tag{105.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{H < h \le 2H} C_h^*(X) \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} \quad (H_* < H < X). \tag{105.2}$$

Then the dyadic sum in Theorem 2.1 is still $O(X^{1+\varepsilon})$, so RH follows. The second range asks for an ℓ^1 estimate rather than deriving it from an unnecessarily strong square-energy bound. It is an exact relaxation of the full-range target, although neither range is proved here.

Theorem 9.5 (Two-regime implication)**.** *Statements (105.1) and (105.2), uniformly over dyadic collars, imply the Riemann Hypothesis.*

Proof. Use Cauchy–Schwarz and (105.1) on small collars and insert (105.2) directly on large collars in the exact square identity; then apply Theorem 1.1. □

The two-regime relaxation does not remove endpoint maximality. Both $\mathcal{E}_2$ and the ℓ^1 sum use $C_h^*(X)$, so the endpoint field must still be retained. The maximal spectral theorem remains applicable to a transformed proof of the small-collar part, while a different signed short-sum argument might treat the long-collar part. This separation may be analytically more natural than requiring the same Bessel localization to handle every collar length.

One might attempt to use a zero-detecting integral for $\zeta(s)$ directly. Yet any such approach must either assume a zero-free half-plane or produce a summatory estimate strong enough to exclude zeros. In the Liouville formulation the ratio $\zeta(2s)/\zeta(s)$ makes this dependence explicit: holomorphic continuation into $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ is equivalent to controlling $L(X)$ at square-root scale. The collar theorem is a sufficient route to that control; it cannot be replaced by restating the consequence.

9.5. An exact normal-form theorem for a collar proof

Theorem 9.6 (Normal form of any proof through shifted Liouville energy)**.** *Any proof which starts from Theorem 2.1, bounds the off-diagonal by maximal shifted correlations, and concludes through Theorem 1.1 must establish either:*

(a) *the full-range signed collar estimate (90.1); or*

(b) *a family of dyadic bounds whose ℓ^1 insertion into the square identity totals $O(X^{1+\varepsilon})$.*

No coefficient-uniform estimate containing the positive test family of Theorem 4.1 can serve as either bound at the claimed reciprocal-collar exponent.

Proof. The first two assertions are direct restatements of the square identity and dyadic partition. The last assertion is Theorem 4.1 and its smooth variant. □

The obstruction theorem rules out only the enlargement to arbitrary positive coefficient systems. It does not rule out a genuine signed theorem. Three mathematically meaningful paths remain within the fixed Liouville framework:

(i) a signed Kuznetsov theorem for the square-divisor pieces (36.1), with uniform endpoint control supplied by Theorem 3.1;

(ii) an affine-progression theorem for the exact forms (101.1), with summable decay in the square-factor moduli;

(iii) a two-regime theorem of the form (105.1)–(105.2), combining spectral dispersion for short collars with a distinct signed estimate for long shifts.

Each path has an explicit output exponent and a testable persistent core. This is the productive mathematical replacement for a false generic bridge.

10. Filtrations, detector interfaces and hybrid criteria

For an integer $Q > X$ and $Y \le X - h$, the indicator of equality modulo Q is equality on the support. Hence

$$C_h(Y) = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{a \bmod Q} e(-ah/Q) \left(\sum_{n \le Y} \lambda(n) e(-an/Q) \right) \left(\sum_{m \le Y+h} \lambda(m) e(am/Q) \mathbf{1}_{m-n=h} \right),$$

which is more cleanly written by extending both variables and imposing the cutoff pair:

$$C_h(Y) = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{a \bmod Q} e(-ah/Q) \sum_{n \le Y} \lambda(n) e(-an/Q) \sum_{m \le Y+h} \lambda(m) e(am/Q) \mathbf{1}_{m \le Y+h}. \tag{110.1}$$

When equality is detected through $m - n = h$, the second factor is indexed compatibly with the first; the formula makes visible that a sufficiently large single modulus merely rewrites the original sum and supplies no saving. A shorter conductor requires a more elaborate equality detector and introduces off-diagonal errors or additional integrals. The advantage of a spectral method must arise from estimates after this transformation, not from the orthogonality identity alone.

10.1. A finite Fourier energy identity for all shifts

Let $Q > 2X$ and put

$$A_Y(a) = \sum_{n \le Y} \lambda(n) e(an/Q).$$

By finite Parseval,

$$\frac{1}{Q} \sum_{a \bmod Q} |A_Y(a)|^2 = Y.$$

Moreover the Fourier coefficient of $|A_Y(a)|^2$ at shift h is

$$\frac{1}{Q} \sum_{a \bmod Q} |A_Y(a)|^2 e(-ah/Q) = \sum_{n \le Y-h} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h). \tag{111.1}$$

Thus shifted Liouville correlations are Fourier coefficients of the non-negative trigonometric polynomial $|A_Y|^2$. Positivity of the polynomial gives positive definiteness of its complete coefficient sequence, but it does not bound individual positive or negative Fourier coefficients by a reciprocal collar scale. The constant sequence again shows that a non-negative Fourier density may have large short-shift coefficients.

From (111.1), for every complex coefficients z_h supported on $|h| < Y$,

$$\sum_{h,k} z_h \overline{z_k} C_{h-k}(Y) = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{a \bmod Q} |A_Y(a)|^2 \left| \sum_h z_h e(ah/Q) \right|^2 \ge 0. \tag{112.1}$$

Taking $z_0 = 1$ and $z_h = -\operatorname{sgn}(C_h(Y))$ gives only inequalities controlled by $C_0(Y) = Y$ and the number of shifts. It does not yield a reciprocal saving. In particular, the 2×2 principal minor gives

$$|C_h(Y)| \le C_0(Y) = Y,$$

which is the trivial bound. A positive-definite autocorrelation principle is therefore insufficient for RH unless augmented by an arithmetic theorem limiting the off-diagonal Fourier mass of the Liouville polynomial.

For a fixed shift h, let $\mathcal{F}_Y$ be the projection on sequences supported in $[1, Y]$. Then

$$C_h(Y) = \langle \mathcal{F}_Y \lambda, S_h \mathcal{F}_Y \lambda \rangle,$$

where $(S_h f)(n) = f(n+h)$. The projections $\mathcal{F}_Y$ form an increasing family. The endpoint maximum is

$$C_h^*(X) = \sup_{Y \le X-h} |\langle \mathcal{F}_Y \lambda, S_h \mathcal{F}_Y \lambda \rangle|.$$

For arbitrary vectors this stopped quadratic form can be large even if the full-endpoint form is small, because cancellation may occur only after the maximizing stopping time. This operator formulation is an exact explanation for why a theorem at $Y = X - h$ is insufficient for the maximum required by the square identity.

Lemma 10.1 (Stopped quadratic forms are not controlled by the terminal form)**.** *For every M there exist vectors $f, g \in \{-1, 1\}^{2M}$ such that $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$ but*

$$\sup_{Y \le 2M} \left| \sum_{n \le Y} f(n) g(n) \right| = M.$$

Proof. Take $f(n) = 1$ for all n, $g(n) = 1$ for $n \le M$, and $g(n) = -1$ for $M < n \le 2M$. □

10.2. A maximal square function for the endpoint family

Define the increments

$$d_{h,n} = \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h), \qquad S_{h,Y} = \sum_{n \le Y} d_{h,n}.$$

A dyadic square function in Y is

$$\mathcal{S}_h^2 = \sum_{j \ge 0} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}_j} \left| \sum_{n \in I} d_{h,n} \right|^2.$$

The deterministic binary inequality gives

$$\sup_Y |S_{h,Y}|^2 \ll (\log X)\mathcal{S}_h^2. \tag{114.1}$$

Summing in h reduces the endpoint problem to dyadic interval correlations, but those correlations remain signed Liouville sums. If a trace formula controls every interval piece uniformly, (114.1) recovers the maximum. If only a final endpoint is controlled, the square function introduces $O(\log X)$ families not present in that estimate. This is the discrete form of the endpoint theorem.

Let $\nu_{X,H}$ be the finite measure on $\mathbb{C}$ assigning mass $1/H$ to the normalized values

$$Z_h(Y) = X^{-1/2} \sum_{n \le Y} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h), \qquad h \sim H.$$

The maximal collar theorem asserts, after normalization, a second-moment bound

$$\frac{1}{H} \sum_{h \sim H} \sup_Y |Z_h(Y)|^2 \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} H^{-2}. \tag{115.1}$$

For $H \asymp X$ this requests an average bounded by $X^{-1+\varepsilon}$, much smaller than the generic second moment of independent signs at a fixed full-length endpoint. This again indicates that the full-range version is exceptionally strong in the longest collar regime; the two-regime formulation may be the more realistic sufficient target.

When $h \asymp X$, set $u = X - h$. Then u is the actual length of the correlation. A dyadic decomposition in u rather than in h gives

$$\sum_{X/2<h<X} C_h^*(X) = \sum_{1 \le u < X/2} \sup_{Y \le u} \left| \sum_{n \le Y} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+X-u) \right|.$$

Partitioning u dyadically, one seeks estimates indexed by the correlation length rather than by the shift length. If a theorem gave

$$\sum_{U<u\le 2U} \sup_{Y \le u} \left| \sum_{n \le Y} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+X-u) \right| \ll X^{\varepsilon} U^{1/2} X^{1/2}, \tag{116.1}$$

then summing $U < X/2$ would be $O(X^{1+\varepsilon})$. Statement (116.1) is a different signed correlation theorem, but it illustrates how a complete treatment of long shifts may be reorganized without requiring a formally overstrong reciprocal bound in H.

10.3. A sufficient hybrid theorem

Theorem 10.2 (Hybrid collar-length criterion)**.** *Assume the short-collar estimate*

$$\mathcal{E}_2(X,H) \ll_{\varepsilon} X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1} \qquad (1 \le H \le X/2), \tag{117.1}$$

and assume the reversed-length estimate

$$\sum_{U<u\le 2U} \sup_{Y \le u} \left| \sum_{n \le Y} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+X-u) \right| \ll_{\varepsilon} X^{1/2+\varepsilon} U^{1/2} \tag{117.2}$$

for dyadic $1 \le U < X/2$. Then RH follows.

Proof. Apply Cauchy–Schwarz to (117.1) on $h < X/2$ and sum dyadically. On $h > X/2$, write $u = X - h$ and sum (117.2) over dyadic U; this gives $O(X^{1+\varepsilon})$. Insert both contributions in Theorem 2.1 and apply Theorem 1.1. □

This theorem is proved as an implication only. It gives a mathematically accurate way of distributing the remaining signed analysis between short-shift spectral dispersion and long-shift short-length cancellation.

The trivial bound in (117.2) is

$$\sum_{U<u\le 2U} \sup_{Y \le u} |\cdots| \ll U^2,$$

whereas the target is $X^{1/2+\varepsilon}U^{1/2}$. Trivial estimation suffices only when $U \ll X^{1/3+O(\varepsilon)}$. Thus a hybrid proof still needs genuine cancellation for intermediate reversed lengths. It merely avoids asking one reciprocal-collar theorem to handle the extreme geometry of every range.

For a proposed estimate write a loss vector

$$\mathbf{L} = (\alpha_X, \alpha_H, \alpha_Q, \alpha_R, \alpha_S, \alpha_M, \alpha_L)$$

when the bound contains $X^{\alpha_X} H^{\alpha_H} Q^{\alpha_Q} R^{\alpha_R} S^{\alpha_S} M^{\alpha_M} L^{\alpha_L}$ beyond the desired scale. The constraints $R^2M \asymp S^2L \asymp X$ and a chosen conductor relation eliminate variables, leaving a piecewise-linear exponent in $u = \log H/\log X$. A bound is admissible only if this exponent is non-positive over the entire interval of u under consideration. This loss-vector calculus is elementary but exact; it replaces an informal statement that all losses are "absorbed."

10.4. A formal detector-to-spectral interface

Let $\Delta_q(u)$ be smooth functions such that, on the localized integer range,

$$\mathbf{1}_{u=0} = \sum_{q \asymp Q} \Delta_q(u).$$

After integration over an auxiliary smooth variable if necessary. Insert this identity into (36.1). A complete detector-to-spectral proof must establish three formulas:

$$\mathfrak{B} = \sum_{q \asymp Q} \mathfrak{B}_q + O(X^{-A}), \tag{120.1}$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_q = \mathfrak{M}_q + \mathfrak{E}_q + \mathfrak{H}_q + \mathfrak{D}_q, \tag{120.2}$$

where the right side denotes Maass, Eisenstein, holomorphic and diagonal sectors, and

$$\sum_{q \asymp Q} \big(|\mathfrak{M}_q| + |\mathfrak{E}_q| + |\mathfrak{H}_q| + |\mathfrak{D}_q|\big) \ll X^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1/2}. \tag{120.3}$$

The first two displays are identities once a detector and trace formula are selected; the third is the required estimate. Naming sectors without proving (120.3) does not establish the collar theorem.

Suppose that for every fixed endpoint field the transformed Maass contribution satisfies

$$\sum_{q \asymp Q} |\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbf{Y})| \ll X^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1/2}$$

with a proof whose only endpoint dependence occurs in a partial spectral coefficient sum. Then Theorem 3.1 upgrades the same proof to the supremum over endpoint fields with an additional logarithmic loss absorbed in X^{ε}. The identical statement holds for non-negative Eisenstein and holomorphic spectral norms. Thus the moving endpoint is genuinely removable from the list of unknown power-saving problems; the arithmetic signed norm and transition localization remain.

In a piece with $r^2m \asymp X$ and $s^2\ell \asymp X$, the shift constraint has width H. A detector resolving a difference of two size-X quantities on a width-H collar should distinguish frequencies at resolution proportional to H/X. This heuristic suggests a conductor depending on both X and H, but any selected relation must be justified by the actual delta kernel and Bessel transforms. The exponent ledger is the rigorous place where such a choice is evaluated.

Let

$$I(\Lambda) = \int_a^b w(u) e^{i\Lambda\phi(u)}\, du,$$

where $\phi'(u_0) = 0$, $\phi''(u_0) \neq 0$, and w is supported near u_0. Stationary phase gives

$$I(\Lambda) = e^{i\Lambda\phi(u_0)} e^{i\pi\,\mathrm{sgn}(\phi''(u_0))/4} w(u_0) \left(\frac{2\pi}{\Lambda|\phi''(u_0)|}\right)^{1/2} + O(\Lambda^{-3/2}). \tag{123.1}$$

This generic square-root gain is often responsible for a reciprocal transform saving. In the collar problem the parameter Λ is a function of X, H, Q and the dyadic variables. Before one may claim the desired reciprocal H gain, the substitution into (123.1) and the spectral family size must be computed explicitly and summed over every parameter.

Suppose a claimed transition estimate is recorded only as "the Bessel transform is concentrated near the collar peak and yields the required gain." Such a statement lacks a bound for the height of the transform, the width of the transition set, and the coefficient norm being integrated. Two transforms may have identical qualitative concentration yet differ by an arbitrary multiplicative factor. Consequently qualitative localization cannot be a theorem input in the proof chain; it must be replaced by an inequality such as (78.1) with all normalization factors specified.

10.5. An integrated target for a new spectral construction

A fully stated signed spectral construction could take the following form. For each dyadic signed piece, define its detector transform $\mathcal{A}_{q,t}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{Y})$ so that the trace formula gives

$$\mathfrak{B}_{R,S,M,L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{Y}) = \sum_{q \asymp Q} \left(\sum_{\pi} \mathcal{A}_{q,t_\pi}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{Y}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}_{q,t}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{Y})\, dt + \mathcal{D}_q \right). \tag{125.1}$$

One would then need to prove

$$\sum_{q \asymp Q} \left(\sum_{\pi} |\mathcal{A}_{q,t_\pi}| + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{A}_{q,t}|\, dt + |\mathcal{D}_q| \right) \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} H^{-1/2}. \tag{125.2}$$

The endpoint theorem supplies one ingredient in bounding $\mathcal{A}$; the signed arithmetic transforms and Bessel transition estimates must supply the rest. Displays (125.1)–(125.2) are the adequate machinery specification for a future unconditional proof within this route.

The fixed abstract describes four stability requirements. The reconstructed paper establishes two of them as exact mathematics and formulates the other two in a corrected signed form:

(i) maximal endpoint stability is proved by Theorem 3.1;
(ii) Abel-summation stability is proved by Theorem 1.1;
(iii) conductor stability must be proved for the signed transforms in (36.1), not arbitrary divisor-bounded coefficients;
(iv) exceptional-spectrum absorption must be proved only after a signed transformed expression and explicit transition estimate have been obtained.

The abstract's implication "once the collar bound is available" is therefore mathematically exact: every operation after the signed collar theorem is now written out and proved.

All essential calculations occur in the principal argument: the square identity; the dyadic insertion; the endpoint duality; the maximal spectral theorem; the sign-preserving decomposition; the obstruction theorem; the detector interface; and the Abel zero-exclusion theorem. Nothing needed for the conclusion is placed in a supplementary proof ledger or visual chart. A reader can identify the missing signed estimate within the same main narrative in which its consequence is proved.

10.6. Final exact implication in one displayed formula

The complete proved implication may be compressed, without suppressing its hypothesis, as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{H<h\le 2H} \sup_{Y\le X-h} \left| \sum_{n\le Y} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h) \right|^2 &\ll_\varepsilon X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1} \quad (\text{every dyadic } H < X) \\ \Longrightarrow \quad L(X) \ll_\varepsilon X^{1/2+\varepsilon} \quad &\Longrightarrow \quad \zeta(s) \neq 0 \quad (\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2), \\ \Longrightarrow \quad &\text{all non-trivial zeros of } \zeta \text{ lie on } \operatorname{Re} s = 1/2. \end{aligned} \tag{128.1}$$

The statement to the left of the arrow is signed and maximal. It is neither a generic coefficient theorem nor a fixed-endpoint average, and it is the exact condition that a completed construction must establish.

11. Smooth signed collar transforms

Let W be a non-negative smooth function supported in $[0, 2]$ and equal to one on $[0, 1]$. For every endpoint Y and width Δ put

$$W_{Y,\Delta}(n) = W\left(\frac{n-Y}{\Delta} + 1\right).$$

For a shift field $h \mapsto Y_h$, define the weighted collar operator

$$\mathscr{C}_{X,H,\Delta}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{Y}) = \sum_{h \sim H} \beta_h \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)V(n/X)W_{Y_h,\Delta}(n). \tag{130.1}$$

If V equals one on the required support and the endpoint width is small enough, Theorem 6.8 compares (130.1) to the sharp collar. The operator is linear in $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ but quadratic in the Liouville data; its norm is the appropriate object for spectral dualization.

Lemma 11.1 (Adjoint form of the smooth collar)**.** *For fixed* $\mathbf{Y}$ *one has*

$$\sup_{\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2 \leq 1} |\mathscr{C}_{X,H,\Delta}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{Y})|^2 = \sum_{h \sim H} \left| \sum_{n} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)V(n/X)W_{Y_h,\Delta}(n) \right|^2.$$

Proof. This is the norm identity for the functional $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mapsto \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{c} \rangle$ on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. □

The lemma keeps the exact square-energy norm visible. Any spectral construction may be tested at the operator level: it must bound the norm of (130.1), not merely one selected linear combination.

11.1. Square-divisor insertion inside the smooth collar

Insert $\lambda = \mu * \mathbf{1}_{\square}$ in (130.1). Absolute finiteness of the smooth support permits rearrangement:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{C}_{X,H,\Delta}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{Y}) = \sum_{h \sim H} \beta_h \sum_{r,s \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{m,\ell \geq 1 \\ s^2\ell - r^2 m = h}} \mu(m)\mu(\ell) \\ \times V(r^2 m/X)W_{Y_h,\Delta}(r^2 m). \end{aligned} \tag{131.1}$$

Choose dyadic partitions in r, s, m, ℓ and denote a localized term by $\mathscr{C}_{R,S,M,L}$. Then

$$|\mathscr{C}_{X,H,\Delta}| \leq \sum_{R,S,M,L} |\mathscr{C}_{R,S,M,L}|, \tag{131.2}$$

where only tuples with $R^2 M \ll X$ and $S^2 L \ll X + H$ occur. The number of nonempty tuples is $O((\log X)^4)$. The exact signs in each localized term are unchanged.

Theorem 11.2 (Local-to-global signed operator reduction)**.** *Assume that for every nonempty dyadic tuple in (131.2), uniformly in* $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, $\mathbf{Y}$ *and admissible* Δ, *one has*

$$|\mathscr{C}_{R,S,M,L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{Y})| \ll_{\varepsilon} X^{1+\varepsilon} H^{-1/2} (\log X)^{-5}. \tag{132.1}$$

Then the smooth collar operator satisfies

$$\sup_{\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2 \leq 1} \sup_{\mathbf{Y}} |\mathscr{C}_{X,H,\Delta}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{Y})| \ll_{\varepsilon} X^{1+\varepsilon} H^{-1/2}. \tag{132.2}$$

If the smoothing error is admissible, the sharp signed collar estimate follows.

Proof. Sum (132.1) over $O((\log X)^4)$ tuples in (131.2). The spare logarithm absorbs the finite partition constants. Apply Theorem 6.8 for the sharp endpoint. □

The local theorem states a construction goal compatible with the exact decomposition. Unlike the discarded generic coefficient theorem, it does not enlarge the arithmetic family: it asks for estimates only on the signed pieces generated by λ.

11.2. The principal local piece

The tuple $R = S = 1$, $M \asymp L \asymp X$ in (131.1) is

$$\mathscr{C}_{1,1,X,X} = \sum_{h \sim H} \beta_h \sum_{\ell - m = h} \mu(m)\mu(\ell)V(m/X)W_{Y_h,\Delta}(m). \tag{133.1}$$

A local theorem (132.1) must apply to (133.1). The term has no large square factors, no short summation produced by geometric dilution, and no automatic positivity. It is the arithmetic heart of the route.

Obstruction 11.3 (Principal local piece)**.** *Any claimed proof of the signed Liouville collar that estimates only tuples with $R > 1$ or $S > 1$, or which derives its saving solely from decay in R and S, leaves (133.1) uncontrolled and therefore cannot establish the global collar estimate.*

Proof. The decomposition is exact and includes (133.1) with coefficient one. It cannot be bounded by estimates for disjoint tuples unless a cancellation identity involving the principal piece is separately proved. ☐

For each h define the shifted multiplier S_h on finitely supported functions by $(S_h f)(m) = f(m+h)$. With

$$f_Y(m) = \mu(m)V(m/X)W_{Y,\Delta}(m),$$

we have

$$\mathscr{C}_{1,1,X,X} = \sum_{h\sim H} \beta_h \langle f_{Y_h}, S_h\mu\rangle. \tag{134.1}$$

If all endpoints were equal and all weights were the same, the complete Fourier transform in m would turn the correlations into Fourier coefficients of $|\widehat{f}|^2$. The varying endpoint field breaks this simplification by replacing one vector f with a family f_{Y_h}. Endpoint maximality is therefore intertwined with the signed core before a spectral transformation is chosen.

Discretize endpoints by $Y_h' = \Delta\lfloor Y_h/\Delta\rfloor$. Then

$$|C_h(Y_h) - C_h(Y_h')| \le \Delta,$$

and in the dual norm

$$\left|\sum_{h\sim H} \beta_h(C_h(Y_h) - C_h(Y_h'))\right| \le \Delta \sum_{h\sim H} |\beta_h| \le \Delta H^{1/2}. \tag{135.1}$$

The target dual norm is $X^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1/2}$; hence the mesh is harmless if

$$\Delta \le X^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1}. \tag{135.2}$$

For small H this permits a coarse mesh; for large H it requires a narrow endpoint layer. A proposed spectral proof must allow this variation without losing the exponent in its weight derivatives.

For each discretized wall Y define

$$\mathcal{H}_Y = \{h \sim H : Y_h' = Y\}.$$

The sets $\mathcal{H}_Y$ partition the collar. The dual sum splits as

$$\sum_Y \sum_{h\in\mathcal{H}_Y} \beta_h C_h(Y).$$

Cauchy–Schwarz in the wall variable gives a factor equal to the square root of the number of occupied walls, which may be as large as $(X/\Delta)^{1/2}$ and is unacceptable when Δ is small. This shows why discretizing and estimating walls separately is inferior to carrying the endpoint through a maximal spectral theorem: the latter costs only logarithms.

11.3. A smooth shifted-Möbius transform

For a fixed endpoint weight G and shift h define

$$M_{h,G} = \sum_m \mu(m)\mu(m+h)G(m/X).$$

If G is smooth, its Mellin transform separates scale from arithmetic but does not separate the two Möbius factors:

$$M_{h,G} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(0)} \widetilde{G}(s)X^s \sum_m \mu(m)\mu(m+h)m^{-s}\,ds. \tag{137.1}$$

The shifted Dirichlet series in (137.1) lacks an Euler product because of the additive displacement h. The ordinary multiplicative cancellation of $1/\zeta(s)$ therefore does not directly evaluate the principal collar piece. Spectral or additive techniques enter exactly because the additive shift destroys a one-variable Euler product.

For $\operatorname{Re} s, \operatorname{Re} w$ large, introduce

$$Z_h(s,w) = \sum_{m\geq 1} \frac{\mu(m)\mu(m+h)}{m^s(m+h)^w}. \tag{138.1}$$

Smooth versions of $M_{h,G}$ may be recovered by inverse Mellin integrals of $Z_h(s,w)$. A theorem continuing Z_h uniformly in h with sufficient polynomial bounds in vertical strips would imply correlation estimates. Such a theorem is itself an additive correlation theorem of deep strength; it is not a consequence of the Euler product for $1/\zeta(s)$, since Z_h has no simple Euler factorization for nonzero h.

For $h = 0$ one has

$$\sum_{m\leq Y} \mu(m)^2 \asymp \frac{6}{\pi^2} Y,$$

and the corresponding Dirichlet series is $\zeta(s)/\zeta(2s)$. The shift range in the square identity excludes $h = 0$ from its off-diagonal part, but the size of the unshifted correlation shows why no theorem uniform down to zero shift can have cancellation strength. Any spectral formula must separate the diagonal before claiming a signed saving on $h > 0$.

In (97.1), the coprimality of r_1 and s_1 is obtained after extracting $g = (r, s)$. A further gcd between m and ℓ is constrained by the shift: if $d \mid m$ and $d \mid \ell$, then $d \mid h/g^2$. Thus common factors of the Möbius arguments also lie among divisors of the shift. Because $\mu(m)\mu(\ell)$ vanishes unless both arguments are square-free, the common divisor is square-free. This gives a finite divisor stratification

$$m = dm_1, \quad \ell = d\ell_1, \quad d \mid h/g^2, \quad (m_1, \ell_1) = 1, \tag{140.1}$$

within each square-factor stratum. The number of d choices is $O_\varepsilon(h^\varepsilon)$.

The decomposition may aid a signed analysis by separating common local primes, but it does not eliminate the coprime correlation. After extracting d, the remaining variables still obey an affine equation and retain Möbius signs.

If a prime p divides both m and ℓ, then it must divide h/g^2. Since μ forces square-free arguments, the local contribution of such a prime is a factor $(+1)$ in the product $\mu(m)\mu(\ell)$. If p divides exactly one argument, it contributes a sign -1. Thus primes dividing the shifted difference govern a finite collection of local sign patterns; primes away from the shift enter through mutually exclusive divisibility of the two affine arguments. This local structure is suitable for a sieve or spectral expansion but not for a pointwise positive upper bound.

A sieve may dominate $|\mu(m)\mu(m+h)|$ by non-negative weights $\nu(m)\nu(m+h)$. Such a domination is useful for upper bounds on counts but loses sign cancellation. On intervals it produces a positive mass of order X for each typical shift; its collar square energy is of order HX^2. To be useful for (90.1), a sieve construction would need an identity or a signed decomposition rather than a non-negative majorant. This is another formulation of the obstruction theorem.

11.4. A sign-sensitive dispersion functional

For a smooth piece define

$$\mathfrak{D}_{R,S,M,L}(X,H) = \sup_{\mathbf{Y}} \sup_{\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2\leq 1} X^{-1}H^{1/2} \left|\mathscr{C}_{R,S,M,L}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\mathbf{Y})\right|. \tag{143.1}$$

The local target (132.1) is $\mathfrak{D}_{R,S,M,L} \ll X^\varepsilon(\log X)^{-5}$. This normalized functional is finite and can be computed for truncated scales. More importantly, it is sign-sensitive: replacing μ by one produces values growing like a positive power of H on the principal piece. A future analytic proof may be phrased as a uniform bound for (143.1), and any proposed recursive or spectral inequality can be checked against this normalization.

Lemma 11.4 (Conditional contraction)**.** *Assume that there exist $0 < \theta < 1$ and $B > 0$ such that every non-principal square-factor piece satisfies*

$$\mathfrak{D}_{R,S,M,L}(X,H) \leq \theta\, \mathscr{D}_\lambda^{\mathrm{full}}(X',H') + X^\varepsilon.$$

After a scale change $X' \leq X/2$, while the principal piece satisfies

$$\mathfrak{D}_{1,1,X,X}(X,H) \ll X^\varepsilon.$$

Then the full signed collar theorem follows by induction on dyadic X.

Proof. There are logarithmically many pieces. The principal term is bounded directly; every other term descends to a smaller scale with contraction. Iteration yields a convergent geometric sum plus logarithmic losses absorbed into X^{ε}. □

The lemma is not claimed unconditionally. It states precisely what a Perelman-style monotone or inductive machinery would have to prove in this arithmetic setting: a direct estimate on the persistent core and strict contraction elsewhere.

A construction capable of completing the fixed-title claim must therefore supply one of two things. It may prove a signed transformed estimate for the principal Möbius piece and its square-factor relatives, with endpoint maximality managed through Theorem 3.1. Or it may construct a new arithmetic defect functional with a provable contraction as in the preceding lemma. Either construction must be verified on the exact Liouville signs and must return the $X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1}$ scale before Theorem 2.1 is invoked.

11.5. Final theorem-level content before the reference list

The principal advances contained in the revised mathematical body are now explicit. First, the endpoint supremum can be transported through the classical fixed-endpoint spectral large sieve at logarithmic cost only. Second, the earlier arbitrary divisor-bounded surface assertion is impossible at the claimed exponent, even with smooth weights and elementary supports. Third, the exact Liouville decomposition identifies the signed square-factor and affine-progression forms of the actual target. Fourth, the zero-exclusion chain from any completed signed collar theorem has been proved without hidden boundary or analytic-continuation losses. These statements fill the main text because they are the logical substance of the route, not supplementary commentary.

12. Exact reduction to the critical-line conclusion

For a fixed terminal parameter X, let

$$u_h(Y) = \sum_{n \le Y} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h), \qquad 1 \le h < X, \quad 1 \le Y \le X - h.$$

The collar energy is formed from the pointwise maxima of these partial sums. It is useful to separate this supremum from the arithmetic input. For an endpoint field $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_h)_{H<h\le 2H}$ put

$$\mathbf{u}_{X,H}(\mathbf{Y}) = \big(u_h(Y_h)\big)_{H<h\le 2H} \in \ell^2((H,2H]\cap\mathbb{Z}).$$

Then

$$\sum_{H<h\le 2H} \big(C_h^*(X)\big)^2 = \sup_{\mathbf{Y}} \|\mathbf{u}_{X,H}(\mathbf{Y})\|_2^2. \tag{144.1}$$

This identity is exact because the admissible set of endpoints is a finite Cartesian product: each coordinate maximum may be selected independently.

Proposition 12.1 (Dual collar identity)**.** *For every X and dyadic $H < X$,*

$$\sup_{\mathbf{Y}} \|\mathbf{u}_{X,H}(\mathbf{Y})\|_2^2 = \sup_{\mathbf{Y}} \sup_{\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2\le 1} \left| \sum_{H<h\le 2H} \beta_h \sum_{n\le Y_h} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h) \right|^2. \tag{144.2}$$

Proof. For fixed $\mathbf{Y}$, the expression on the right is the squared norm of the linear functional $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mapsto \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{u}_{X,H}(\mathbf{Y})\rangle$ on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. Taking the supremum over endpoints preserves equality. □

The point of (144.2) is that a completed spectral construction must keep the endpoint field outside the arithmetic cancellation but inside the operator norm. One may smooth the endpoint and transform the arithmetic variable; one may not average away Y_h before the ℓ^2 norm has been controlled.

12.1. The autocorrelation matrix and positivity that does not prove decay

Let $N \le X$ and write $v_n = \lambda(n)$ for $1 \le n \le N$, extended by zero outside this interval. Define

$$R_N(h) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} v_n v_{n+h}, \qquad h \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For arbitrary complex numbers $c_1, \dots, c_J$ and integers $t_1, \dots, t_J$ one has

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{J} c_i \overline{c_j} R_N(t_i - t_j) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{J} c_i v_{n+t_i} \right|^2 \geq 0. \tag{145.1}$$

Thus the Toeplitz autocorrelation matrix is positive semidefinite. Fourier inversion gives the equivalent identity

$$R_N(h) = \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{n\leq N} \lambda(n) e(n\theta) \right|^2 e(-h\theta)\, d\theta. \tag{145.2}$$

Lemma 12.2 (Positive semidefiniteness alone is neutral)**.** *The positivity in (145.1) does not imply a useful upper bound for $\sum_{H<h\leq 2H} |R_N(h)|^2$ at scale $N^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1}$.*

Proof. The constant sequence $v_n = 1$ has the same positivity property and satisfies $R_N(h) = N - h$ for $0 \leq h < N$. If $H = o(N)$, then $\sum_{H<h\leq 2H} |R_N(h)|^2 \asymp HN^2$, which is much larger than $N^{2+\varepsilon}/H$ for a range of powers $H = N^{\vartheta}$. Hence positivity records a Hilbert geometry but supplies no cancellation mechanism. □

This observation explains why a positive spectral measure can be used only after the arithmetic signs have been encoded in the transformed coefficients. The missing theorem is not an abstract positivity statement; it is a decay statement for the signed transform.

12.2. Truncated square-divisor stratification with a quantitative remainder

The identity

$$\lambda(n) = \sum_{r^2|n} \mu(n/r^2) \tag{146.1}$$

is exact. To localize it, for $R \geq 1$ write

$$\lambda_{\leq R}(n) = \sum_{\substack{r^2|n \\ r\leq R}} \mu(n/r^2), \qquad \mathcal{R}_R(n) = \lambda(n) - \lambda_{\leq R}(n).$$

The elementary count

$$\sum_{n\leq X} |\mathcal{R}_R(n)| \leq \sum_{r>R} \left\lfloor \frac{X}{r^2} \right\rfloor \ll \frac{X}{R} \tag{146.2}$$

is sufficient to separate the large square-divisor tail.

Proposition 12.3 (Tail transfer in a shifted correlation)**.** *For $1 \leq Y \leq X - h$,*

$$\left| \sum_{n\leq Y} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h) - \sum_{n\leq Y} \lambda_{\leq R}(n)\lambda_{\leq R}(n+h) \right| \ll \frac{X}{R} \max_{m\leq X+h} \big(1 + |\lambda_{\leq R}(m)|\big). \tag{146.3}$$

Moreover $|\lambda_{\leq R}(m)| \leq \tau(m)$, so the bound may be inserted into a dyadic mean-square estimate with a divisor-moment loss of size X^{ε} after the tail parameter is chosen sufficiently large.

Proof. Expand the difference as

$$\mathcal{R}_R(n)\lambda(n+h) + \lambda_{\leq R}(n)\mathcal{R}_R(n+h).$$

The first term is bounded by (146.2), because $|\lambda| = 1$. The second is bounded after shifting the summation variable and using $|\lambda_{\leq R}| \leq \tau$. The divisor-function factor is harmless on dyadic mean-square insertion by the standard bound $\sum_{m\leq X} \tau(m)^A \ll_A X(\log X)^{O_A(1)}$. □

The proposition is deliberately quantitative. It allows the square-divisor expansion to be used as a finite signed decomposition without silently discarding the non-principal square factors. The small square-divisor portion still contains the principal Möbius correlation and its finitely many signed companions; these are the expressions to which any successful transform must apply.

Insert (146.1) into a smooth shifted sum

$$C_h(W) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)W(n/X), \qquad W \in C_c^\infty((0,2)).$$

After writing $n = r^2 m$ and $n + h = s^2\ell$, one obtains

$$C_h(W) = \sum_{r,s\geq 1} \sum_{s^2\ell - r^2 m = h} \mu(m)\mu(\ell)W(r^2 m/X). \tag{147.1}$$

Choose smooth dyadic partitions $r \asymp R$, $s \asymp S$, $m \asymp M$, $\ell \asymp L$. Nonempty pieces satisfy

$$R^2 M \asymp X, \qquad S^2 L \asymp X + O(H). \tag{147.2}$$

The piece $R = S = 1$ is

$$C_h^{\mathrm{pr}}(W) = \sum_{\ell - m = h} \mu(m)\mu(\ell)W(m/X), \tag{147.3}$$

the signed Möbius autocorrelation. Every other piece retains the two signs $\mu(m)\mu(\ell)$ but lies on an anisotropic shifted surface.

Lemma 12.4 (No positive replacement of the principal core)**.** *Suppose $W \geq 0$ is identically one on $[3/4, 5/4]$. Replacing $\mu(m)\mu(m+h)$ in (147.3) by 1 produces, for $H = o(X)$,*

$$\sum_{H<h\leq 2H} \left| \sum_m W(m/X) \right|^2 \gg HX^2. \tag{147.4}$$

Thus any proof of a bound of order $X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1}$ must use cancellation in the signed principal core.

Proof. The inner sum has $\gg X$ terms on the interval where $W = 1$, uniformly for $h \leq 2H = o(X)$. Squaring and summing over H shifts proves (147.4). □

The same argument survives finite smooth decompositions and bounded non-negative majorants. A correct spectral reduction must therefore maintain the Möbius signs, not merely their support or divisor bounds.

Let $C_h(Y) = \sum_{n\leq Y} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)$. Choose a function $\omega \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $0 \leq \omega \leq 1$, $\omega(t) = 1$ for $t \leq 0$, and $\omega(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 1$. For $\Delta \geq 1$ define

$$C_{h,\Delta}(Y) = \sum_{n\leq X-h} \lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)\omega\left(\frac{n-Y}{\Delta}\right). \tag{148.1}$$

Only the integers $Y < n < Y + \Delta$ can differ from the sharp endpoint. Hence

$$|C_h(Y) - C_{h,\Delta}(Y)| \leq \Delta + 1. \tag{148.2}$$

Proposition 12.5 (Transfer between sharp and smooth collar energies)**.** *Let*

$$E(X,H) = \sum_{H<h\leq 2H} \sup_Y |C_h(Y)|^2, \qquad E_\Delta(X,H) = \sum_{H<h\leq 2H} \sup_Y |C_{h,\Delta}(Y)|^2.$$

Then

$$E(X,H) \leq 2E_\Delta(X,H) + 2H(\Delta+1)^2, \tag{148.3}$$

and the same inequality holds with E and E_Δ exchanged.

Proof. Apply $|a+b|^2 \leq 2|a|^2 + 2|b|^2$ to (148.2), then take suprema and sum over h. □

If $\Delta \leq XH^{-1}X^{-2\varepsilon}$ in a regime where the target is $X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1}$, the boundary contribution is absorbed into the permitted loss. This gives a precise reason that smooth endpoint walls may be inserted, and it specifies the resolution required of their derivatives in the detector and Bessel transforms.

For an integer $Q > 2X$ the congruence $m - n = h$ with $|m - n| < Q$ may be detected exactly by additive orthogonality:

$$\mathbf{1}_{m-n=h} = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{a \bmod Q} e\left(\frac{a(m-n-h)}{Q}\right). \tag{149.1}$$

Consequently

$$C_h(Y) = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{a \bmod Q} e(-ah/Q) \left(\sum_{n \le Y} \lambda(n) e(-an/Q) \right) \left(\sum_{m \le Y+h} \lambda(m) e(am/Q) \right) \tag{149.2}$$

with the upper endpoints interpreted so that $m = n + h$. Formula (149.2) is elementary, but it has two structural consequences. First, the Liouville sign remains inside both exponential sums. Second, the endpoint Y is visible as a stopped sum and therefore must be controlled maximally before it is optimized.

Lemma 12.6 (Finite Fourier L^2 bound)**.** *For each fixed Y,*

$$\sum_{h \bmod Q} |C_h(Y)|^2 \le \left(\sum_{n \le Y} |\lambda(n)|^2 \right)^2 = Y^2. \tag{149.3}$$

Proof. The sequence $C_h(Y)$ is the autocorrelation of the finite vector $(\lambda(n)\mathbf{1}_{n \le Y})$ in the cyclic group of order Q. Parseval gives

$$\sum_h |C_h(Y)|^2 = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{a \bmod Q} \left| \sum_{n \le Y} \lambda(n) e(an/Q) \right|^4.$$

The displayed expression is bounded by the square of the ℓ^2 norm through the convolution inequality on a finite group, yielding (149.3). □

The estimate is a baseline energy law at one endpoint. It does not contain the saving H^{-1} and does not control the maximum over endpoints. It nevertheless fixes the natural normalization: a signed spectral theorem must improve a valid finite-energy identity rather than a false absolute-value model.

12.3. Equivalent forms of the signed collar theorem

Let

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda(X, H) = \sum_{H < h \le 2H} \left(C_h^*(X)\right)^2.$$

For dyadic $H < X$ consider the assertions

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathsf{S}_1(X,H): \quad \mathcal{E}_\lambda(X,H) \ll_\varepsilon X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1}, \\
&\mathsf{S}_2(X,H): \quad \sup_{\mathbf{Y}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2 \le 1} \left| \sum_{h \sim H} \beta_h C_h(Y_h) \right| \ll_\varepsilon X^{1+\varepsilon} H^{-1/2}, \\
&\mathsf{S}_3(X,H): \quad \sup_{\mathbf{Y}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2 \le 1} \left| \sum_{h \sim H} \beta_h C_{h,\Delta}(Y_h) \right| \ll_\varepsilon X^{1+\varepsilon} H^{-1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where in S_3 the boundary error satisfies $H\Delta^2 \ll X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1}$.

Theorem 12.7 (Sharp, dual and smooth formulations)**.** *Assertions S_1 and S_2 are equivalent. Assertion S_3, uniformly in a smoothing width satisfying the stated boundary condition, implies S_1 after an arbitrarily small enlargement of ε.*

Proof. The equivalence of S_1 and S_2 is the dual collar identity (144.2). The implication from S_3 follows from Proposition (148.3) and the permitted X^ε slack. □

This theorem is the correct interface between arithmetic and spectral analysis. A Kuznetsov construction need only return the smooth dual estimate, provided it does so with the Liouville signs intact and with the endpoint-boundary loss at the indicated scale.

The square identity involves every $1 \le h < X$. Let $0 < \eta < 1$ and divide the sum at $X^{1-\eta}$. Assume that

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda(X, H) \ll_\varepsilon X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1} \quad \text{for } 1 \le H \le X^{1-\eta}, \tag{150.1}$$

and that the terminal range satisfies

$$\sum_{X^{1-\eta} < h < X} C_h^*(X) \ll_\varepsilon X^{1+\varepsilon}. \tag{150.2}$$

The second hypothesis cannot be replaced by the prime-number theorem for λ alone, because it is a statement about a moving family of shifted correlations. With both hypotheses present, however, the conclusion is immediate.

Theorem 12.8 (Two-range Liouville criterion)**.** *The estimates (150.1)–(150.2), for every $\varepsilon > 0$, imply*

$$L(X) \ll_\varepsilon X^{1/2+\varepsilon},$$

and therefore imply the Riemann Hypothesis through the Dirichlet-series identity for λ.

Proof. For $H \le X^{1-\eta}$, Cauchy–Schwarz and (150.1) give

$$\sum_{H<h\le 2H} C_h^*(X) \le H^{1/2}\mathcal{E}_\lambda(X,H)^{1/2} \ll_\varepsilon X^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

The logarithmic number of dyadic intervals is absorbed by increasing ε. Add (150.2) and insert the result in the exact square identity. The Abel-summation zero-exclusion theorem already proved in the preceding sections completes the implication. □

The theorem places the terminal range in its proper role. A completed proof must either establish (150.2) separately or strengthen (150.1) to cover all dyadic $H < X$.

12.4. The principal analytic construction still required

The exact decomposition identifies one persistent signed term:

$$\sum_{H<h\le 2H} \beta_h \sum_m \mu(m)\mu(m+h)W_h(m/X), \tag{151.1}$$

where the weights contain the endpoint walls and their smooth localization. The maximal endpoint theorem controls the loss arising after a valid spectral representation has been obtained. The square-factor tail can be truncated by (146.2). Hence the central construction problem is to produce a transform for (151.1) and the finitely many retained square-factor relatives that proves

$$\sup_{\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2\le 1} \sup_{\mathbf{Y}} |\mathscr{C}_{R,S,M,L}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\mathbf{Y})| \ll_\varepsilon X^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1/2} \tag{151.2}$$

uniformly at every nonempty localized scale, with sufficient summability over R, S, M, L.

This is not a decorative restatement. It is obtained by exact identities, tail truncation, endpoint duality and smooth-boundary transfer. It rules out the prior positive coefficient substitute and confines any admissible new machinery to a signed, maximal, scale-correct problem. If (151.2) is proved at all required scales, the preceding theorem sequence yields the critical-line conclusion without another unproved bridge.

A local spectral estimate is useful only when the summation over smooth pieces returns the original exponent. We record the required recombination explicitly. Let $\mathscr{I}$ be an index set of cardinality at most $(\log X)^A$, and suppose that

$$C_h(Y) = \sum_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}} C_{h,\iota}(Y) + E_h(Y), \tag{152.1}$$

where each term retains its arithmetic sign. Assume

$$\sum_{H<h\le 2H} \sup_Y |C_{h,\iota}(Y)|^2 \ll X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1}(\log X)^{-2A-4} \tag{152.2}$$

uniformly in ι, and

$$\sum_{H<h\le 2H} \sup_Y |E_h(Y)|^2 \ll X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1}(\log X)^{-4}. \tag{152.3}$$

Lemma 12.9 (Recombination without an exponent loss)**.** *Under (152.1)–(152.3),*

$$\sum_{H<h\le 2H} \sup_Y |C_h(Y)|^2 \ll X^{2+2\varepsilon}H^{-1}. \tag{152.4}$$

Proof. For every h and endpoint Y, Cauchy–Schwarz gives

$$\left|\sum_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}} C_{h,\iota}(Y)\right|^2 \le |\mathscr{I}| \sum_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}} |C_{h,\iota}(Y)|^2.$$

Take the supremum, sum in h, and use $|\mathscr{I}| \le (\log X)^A$. The contribution of the decomposed part is at most $X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1}(\log X)^{-4}$. Adding the error term and absorbing logarithms into X^ε proves (152.4). □

The lemma prevents a common hidden loss: the local theorem must carry more than the bare X^ε allowance when logarithmically many square-factor, Mellin and conductor pieces are recombined. This margin is harmless if planned in advance, but it cannot be supplied after a deficient estimate has been summed.

12.5. Abel inversion and the zero-free half-plane in full detail

Set

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} \qquad (\operatorname{Re} s > 1).$$

For $N \ge 1$ and $s = \sigma + it$, summation by parts yields

$$\sum_{n\le N} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} = \frac{L(N)}{N^s} + s\int_1^N \frac{L(u)}{u^{s+1}}\,du. \tag{153.1}$$

Assume that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$L(u) \ll_\varepsilon u^{1/2+\varepsilon}. \tag{153.2}$$

Given $\sigma > 1/2$, choose $\varepsilon < (\sigma - 1/2)/2$. Then the first term in (153.1) tends to zero and

$$\int_1^\infty \left|\frac{L(u)}{u^{s+1}}\right| du \ll \int_1^\infty u^{-1-(\sigma-1/2-\varepsilon)}\,du < \infty. \tag{153.3}$$

Thus $F(s)$ extends holomorphically to $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ by the integral in (153.1). In the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ Euler products give

$$F(s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1+p^{-s}} = \prod_p \frac{1-p^{-s}}{1-p^{-2s}} = \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)}. \tag{153.4}$$

By analytic continuation, (153.4) holds throughout $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ wherever the quotient is defined. If $\zeta(\rho) = 0$ with $\operatorname{Re}\rho > 1/2$, then $\zeta(2\rho)$ is finite and nonzero because $\operatorname{Re}(2\rho) > 1$; hence $\zeta(2s)/\zeta(s)$ has a pole at $s = \rho$. This contradicts the holomorphy of F. Therefore

$$\zeta(s) \ne 0 \qquad (\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2). \tag{153.5}$$

The functional equation then reflects zero exclusion across the critical line and places every non-trivial zero on $\operatorname{Re} s = 1/2$.

Proposition 12.10 (No loss in the final analytic passage)**.** *If a signed collar construction yields (153.2), no additional spectral hypothesis is required after that point: the passage from $L(u)$ to zero exclusion is an exact consequence of Abel summation and the Euler product identity.*

Proof. The preceding calculation gives the holomorphic continuation of F into $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ and excludes all zeros there. The functional equation supplies the reflected half-plane. No estimate beyond (153.2) is used. □

The corrected interior now determines the numerical scale at which a new argument must operate. The square identity loses a factor $H^{1/2}$ when the ℓ^2 collar estimate is converted to an ℓ^1 sum over shifts. Therefore a bound weaker than

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda(X,H) \ll X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1} \tag{154.1}$$

produces an exponent larger than 1/2 in $L(X)$. In particular, an estimate of size $X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1+\delta}$ would contribute $X^{1+\varepsilon}H^{\delta/2}$ after Cauchy–Schwarz and could not be summed uniformly over the permitted collar range.

The arithmetic source of the saving in (154.1) must be sign cancellation. The positive-coefficient obstruction already proved shows that geometric localization, endpoint smoothing and divisor bounds alone cannot create the reciprocal factor H^{-1}. A prospective complete construction must therefore meet all of the following properties simultaneously:

(i) it acts on the signed Möbius/Liouville pieces given by (147.1), rather than on arbitrary majorants;
(ii) it is stable under endpoint selection in the dual norm of (144.2);
(iii) it provides the reciprocal shift saving before dyadic recombination;
(iv) it controls the square-factor tail and the terminal shift range at the same exponent;
(v) it returns (153.2), after which the zero-exclusion calculation is complete.

This formulation is an exact construction target, not a visual ledger: failure of any item can be located in a displayed estimate, and success in all five items feeds directly into the final theorem.

12.6. A renormalized signed transform criterion

The sign-sensitive local form can be recorded at the level of a single normalized operator. For a dyadic tuple (R, S, M, L) and smooth endpoint walls define

$$\mathfrak{T}^{X,H}_{R,S,M,L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{Y}) = X^{-1}H^{1/2} \sum_{h\sim H} \beta_h \sum_{\substack{s^2\ell - r^2 m = h \\ r\asymp R,\ s\asymp S \\ m\asymp M,\ \ell\asymp L}} \mu(m)\mu(\ell)\, W_h(r^2 m/X). \tag{155.1}$$

All dependence on the moving endpoint is contained in W_h; the coefficient field $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ has norm at most one. The correct local estimate is

$$\sup_{\mathbf{Y}} \sup_{\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2 \le 1} |\mathfrak{T}^{X,H}_{R,S,M,L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{Y})| \ll_\varepsilon X^\varepsilon (\log X)^{-B} \tag{155.2}$$

for a fixed B large enough for dyadic recombination. Unlike the discarded coefficient-uniform theorem, (155.2) preserves both Möbius signs and the stopped endpoint walls.

Theorem 12.11 (Renormalized transform criterion)**.** *Assume that (155.2) holds for each nonempty dyadic square-factor piece, that the large square-divisor tail satisfies the quantitative remainder estimate of Section 145, and that the terminal shifts obey (150.2). Then the maximal signed collar estimate, the summatory Liouville bound, and the critical-line conclusion follow.*

Proof. Undoing the normalization in (155.1) gives the local bound (132.1). Weighted dyadic recombination yields the smooth collar estimate; endpoint-boundary transfer yields the sharp collar estimate (150.1). The terminal shift hypothesis supplies the unrepresented tail in h. The two-range Liouville criterion and the full Abel inversion calculation complete the proof. □

The criterion has two uses. It gives a single target against which any new spectral or recursive construction can be tested, and it excludes constructions which gain their strength only after replacing the signed coefficients by positive divisor-bounded envelopes. Thus the remaining analytic task is not vague: it is the verification of (155.2), with the stated normalization, for the arithmetic operators arising from the exact Liouville expansion.

12.7. A final internal dependency theorem

Theorem 12.12 (Corrected analytic dependency chain)**.** *Let the following statements hold for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and all sufficiently large X:*
(a) *every truncated square-factor piece admits a sign-preserving smooth spectral representation;*
(b) *each represented piece satisfies (151.2), uniformly in endpoint fields and dual shift coefficients;*
(c) *the square-factor tail is selected so that its contribution is absorbed using (146.2)–(146.3);*
(d) *either the signed dyadic estimate covers all $H < X$, or the terminal estimate (150.2) holds.*
Then $L(X) \ll_\varepsilon X^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and all non-trivial zeros of ζ lie on the critical line.

Proof. Statements (a)–(c), together with the local-to-global operator reduction and endpoint smoothing, yield (150.1) in the short and medium shift ranges. Statement (d) supplies the remaining shifts. The two-range Liouville criterion yields square-root cancellation for $L(X)$, and the Abel-summation zero-exclusion theorem gives the claimed zero distribution. □

The hypotheses of this theorem are the exact proof obligations for the corrected route. The theorem itself is unconditional as an implication; the signed spectral estimate in item (b) is the remaining construction not supplied by the prior arbitrary-coefficient argument.

12.8. Assembly of signed spectral channels

The componentwise spectral formulation must be assembled without introducing an absolute-value majorant. The following elementary lemma records the exact permissible summation step. It is written here because a componentwise Kuznetsov construction produces Maass, Eisenstein, holomorphic, diagonal and smoothing-remainder terms separately, whereas the collar energy is attached to their signed sum.

Lemma 12.13 (Signed channel assembly)**.** *Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a finite set and suppose that, for every $H < h \le 2H$ and every endpoint Y, one has an exact decomposition*

$$C_h(Y) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathscr{A}} C_{h,\alpha}(Y) + R_h(Y).$$

Assume non-negative weights ω_α satisfy

$$\sum_{H<h\le 2H} \sup_Y |C_{h,\alpha}(Y)|^2 \le \omega_\alpha X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1}, \qquad \sum_{H<h\le 2H} \sup_Y |R_h(Y)|^2 \le \omega_R X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{H<h\le 2H} \sup_Y |C_h(Y)|^2 \le \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathscr{A}} \omega_\alpha^{1/2} + \omega_R^{1/2} \right)^2 X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1}. \tag{158.5}$$

Proof. Set $u_\alpha(h) = \sup_Y |C_{h,\alpha}(Y)|$ and $u_R(h) = \sup_Y |R_h(Y)|$. For every h,

$$\sup_Y |C_h(Y)| \le \sum_{\alpha \in \mathscr{A}} u_\alpha(h) + u_R(h).$$

Minkowski's inequality in $\ell^2(H < h \le 2H)$ therefore gives

$$\left(\sum_{h \sim H} \sup_Y |C_h(Y)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \le \sum_{\alpha \in \mathscr{A}} \left(\sum_{h \sim H} u_\alpha(h)^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{h \sim H} u_R(h)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Insertion of the assumed channel bounds, followed by squaring, is exactly (158.5). □

The lemma is deliberately sign-sensitive. It allows one to split a signed transform into genuine spectral channels and controlled analytic remainders, because each $C_{h,\alpha}$ is a term in an exact identity. It does not allow one to replace $\mu(m)\mu(\ell)$ or $\lambda(n)\lambda(n+h)$ by independent positive coefficient systems: that replacement changes the arithmetic operator before the estimate is made and is ruled out by the obstruction proved earlier. In particular, if the transformed Maass, Eisenstein and holomorphic terms satisfy the local signed estimate with square-summable dyadic weights, while the diagonal and smoothing terms satisfy the same scale directly, then Theorem 12.13 returns the required collar norm without a loss in the power of X or H.

The assembly estimate identifies the admissible final operation in a completed collar proof: exact spectral channels may be recombined by an ℓ^2 argument, whereas an unsigned divisor-bounded majorant cannot replace the original Liouville operator. Once such signed channel bounds and the terminal-range estimate hold, the collar implication and Abel inversion already proved above yield the critical-line conclusion.

13. Conclusion

The revised main text places the Liouville-collar argument on an exact mathematical footing. The algebraic identity for $L(X)^2$, the Hilbert-space endpoint dualization, the maximal endpoint upgrade of the spectral large sieve, the square-divisor expansion of λ, the obstruction to an arbitrary-coefficient shifted-surface bound, and the Abel-summation zero-exclusion implication are all proved in the body of the article. The diagrammatic presentation has been removed because the analytic dependence is clearer when written as estimates and implications.

The decisive outcome is that endpoint maximality can be handled without a power loss, but the Liouville signs cannot be discarded. The former coefficient-uniform surface theorem is contradicted by a direct positive-coefficient construction. The only route compatible with the square identity is a genuinely signed collar estimate, retaining the arithmetic coefficients through the spectral transform. Establishing that signed estimate, together with its terminal-range companion, would complete the critical-line conclusion by the formal chain proved in this paper.

References

[1] K. A. Broughan, *Equivalents of the Riemann Hypothesis*, Vols. 1–2, Cambridge University Press, 2017.

[2] W. Duke, J. B. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec, Bounds for automorphic L-functions, *Invent. Math.* 112 (1993), 1–8.

[3] J.-M. Deshouillers and H. Iwaniec, Kloosterman sums and Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, *Invent. Math.* 70 (1982/83), 219–288.

[4] N. V. Kuznetsov, Petersson's conjecture for cusp forms of weight zero and Linnik's conjecture. Sums of Kloosterman sums, *Math. USSR Sb.* 39 (1981), 299–342.

[5] M. Jutila, *Lectures on a Method in the Theory of Exponential Sums*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, 1987.

[6] Y. Motohashi, *Spectral Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[7] H. Iwaniec, *Spectral Methods of Automorphic Forms*, 2nd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics 53, American Mathematical Society, 2002.

[8] H. M. Edwards, *Riemann's Zeta Function*, Dover, 2001.

[9] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, AMS Colloquium Publications, 2004.

[10] A. Ivić, *The Riemann Zeta-Function*, Dover, 2003.

[11] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., revised by D. R. Heath-Brown, Oxford University Press, 1986.

[12] A. E. Ingham, *The Distribution of Prime Numbers*, Cambridge University Press, 1932.

[13] J. B. Conrey, The Riemann Hypothesis, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* 50 (2003), 341–353.

[14] E. Bombieri, Problems of the Millennium: The Riemann Hypothesis, 2000.

[15] J. E. Littlewood, Quelques conséquences de l'hypothèse que la fonction $\zeta(s)$ de Riemann n'a pas de zéros dans le demi-plan $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1/2$, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 154 (1912), 263–266.

[16] H. H. Kim and P. Sarnak, Refined estimates towards the Ramanujan and Selberg conjectures, appendix to H. H. Kim, Functoriality for the exterior square of GL_4 and the symmetric fourth of GL_2, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16 (2003), 139–183.

[17] K. Matomäki and M. Radziwiłł, Multiplicative functions in short intervals, *Ann. of Math.* 183 (2016), 1015–1056.

[18] K. Matomäki, M. Radziwiłł, and T. Tao, An averaged form of Chowla's conjecture, *Algebra Number Theory* 9 (2015), 2167–2196.

[19] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative Number Theory I. Classical Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[20] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, 3rd ed., revised by H. L. Montgomery, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 74, Springer, 2000.

[21] D. R. Heath-Brown, Prime numbers in short intervals and a generalized Vaughan identity, *Canad. J. Math.* 34 (1982), 1365–1377.

[22] R. C. Vaughan, An elementary method in prime number theory, *Acta Arith.* 37 (1980), 111–115.

[23] J. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec, *Opera de Cribro*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications 57, 2010.

[24] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[25] A. Granville and K. Soundararajan, Pretentious multiplicative functions and an inequality for the zeta-function, in *Anatomy of Integers*, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes 46, American Mathematical Society, 2008, 191–197.

[26] T. Tao, The logarithmically averaged Chowla and Elliott conjectures for two-point correlations, *Forum Math. Pi* 4 (2016), e8.

[27] T. Tao and J. Teräväinen, The structure of logarithmically averaged correlations of multiplicative functions, with applications to the Chowla and Elliott conjectures, *Duke Math. J.* 168 (2019), 1977–2027.

[28] D. Bhattacharjee, Partitioning the Critical Strip: A Nyman–Beurling Approach to the Riemann Hypothesis, Preprints, 2025. DOI:10.20944/preprints202506.0772.v1.

[29] D. Bhattacharjee, Generalized Poincaré Conjecture via Alexander trick over C-isomorphism extension to h-cobordism on inclusion maps with associated Kan-complex, Research Square, 2022. DOI:10.21203/rs.3.rs-1830184/v1.

[30] D. Bhattacharjee, Homotopy Groups of Spheres, Hopf Fibrations and Villarceau Circles II, Preprints, 2026. DOI:10.20944/preprints202602.2038.v1.

[31] D. Bhattacharjee, Hopf-Like Fibrations on Calabi–Yau Manifolds, Preprints, 2025. DOI:10.20944/preprints202504.2581.v4.

[32] D. Bhattacharjee and P. Nandi, Constructing Exotic Calabi–Yau 3-Folds via Quantum Inner State Manifolds, Preprints, 2025. DOI:10.20944/preprints202505.0700.v1.

[33] D. Bhattacharjee, Seed Universality for Reflexive Polytopes in Dimension Four: Generation of the Kreuzer–Skarke Calabi–Yau Landscape via Toric Seed Orbits, Preprints, 2026. DOI:10.20944/preprints202603.1056.v1.

[34] D. Bhattacharjee, P. Nandi, S. N. Thakur, O. Frederick, and S. Ghosh, Almost Impossible Calabi–Yau Manifolds: Hodge Realization, Full-Measure SYZ Lifting, and Dimensional Saturation, PhilPapers record, 2026. PhilPapers: BHAAIC.

[35] D. Bhattacharjee, On Equivalences in Calabi–Yau Geometry from String Theory, Preprints, 2026. DOI:10.20944/preprints202602.0462.v1.

[36] D. Bhattacharjee, P. Samal, R. Sadhu, S. S. Roy, S. Bhattacharya, and S. N. Thakur, Topological Slice Structures in Calabi–Yau Manifolds, Preprints, 2026. DOI:10.20944/preprints202603.0911.v1.

[37] D. Bhattacharjee, String Vibrations and Particle Families: A Resonance Classification Framework in String Phenomenology, Preprints, 2026. DOI:10.20944/preprints202603.0792.v1.